Journal of Surgery and Medicine

Placement of lumboperitoneal shunt: Etiology of iatrogenic gastric perforation

Betül Güzelyüz¹, Halit Eren Taşkın¹, Rahşan Kemerdere², Yağmur Özge Turaç Kösem¹, Burak Tahmazoğlu², Semih Can Çetintaş²

 ¹ IU-Cerrahpasa, Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Department of General Surgery, Istanbul, Turkey
 ² IU-Cerrahpasa, Cerrahpasa Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurosurgery, Istanbul, Turkey

ORCID ID of the author(s)

BG: 0000-0002-9092-1705 HET: 0000-0002-1388-5616 RK: 0000-0002-9947-3486 YÖTK: 0000-0002-9947-3486 BT: 0000-0002-9248-9960 SCC: 0000-0001-6036-164X Abstract

Iatrogenic perforation can cause gastric perforation; among the complications of lumboperitoneal shunt placement, intestinal perforation is extremely rare vs. infectious complications; perforation may occur in the ascending colon with projection of the incision site. This case involves a 41-year-old patient, with gastric perforation from pseudotumor cerebri during incision in the abdominal right quadrant to insert a lumboperitoneal shunt.

Keywords: Iatrogenic gastric perforation, Lumboperitoneal shunt complications, Pseudotumor cerebri, Obesity

Introduction

Gastric perforation is a surgical emergency, with high mortality and morbidity. One common cause is peptic ulcer, which can randomly occur, or be due to endoscopic interventions [1, 2].

Pseudotumor cerebri manifests with increased intracranial pressure, although its etiology is not clear; it is known to be more common in women and obese women of childbearing age compared to the general population, with the primary goal of treatment to reduce intracranial pressure. Secondary causes and weight loss in obese patients must be recognized [3]. A lumboperitoneal shunt was applied, while inserting a catheter for cerebrospinal fluid drainage at the appropriate intervertebral distance; it is a surgical procedure in which the distal end of the catheter is advanced under the skin and delivered to the incision from the abdomen; this end is inserted into the peritoneum [4]. Iatrogenic intestinal perforation, though rare, is a possible complication with the incision in the right abdominal quadrant for lumboperitoneal shunt insertion, more likely in the ascending colon. The aim of this report is to discuss the development of gastric perforation, and the approach to lumboperitoneal shunt insertion.

Corresponding Author Betül Güzelyüz IU-Cerrahpasa, CTF Department of General Surgery, Istanbul, Turkey E-mail: b.gzlyz1994@gmail.com

Informed Consent The authors stated that the written consent was obtained from the patient presented with images in the study.

Conflict of Interest No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

> Published 2022 April 24

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s) Published by JOSAM This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial+NOBerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.



How to cite: Güzelyüz B, Taşkın HE, Kemerdere R, Kösem YÖT, Tahmazoğlu B, Çetintaş SC. Placement of lumboperitoneal shunt: Rare etiology in iatrogenic gastric perforation. J Surg Med. 2022;6(4):533-534.

Case presentation

We present a 41-year-old female patient with no known issues other than 2 lumboperitoneal shunt insertions from pseudotumor cerebri and a history of shunt dysfunction: the neurosurgery team planned to reinsert a lumboperitoneal shunt. The proximal end of the shunt was placed appropriately in the lumbar region, with the distal end advanced through subcutaneous tunneling to the minimal incision area from the right quadrant of the abdomen; the incision in the right quadrant was deepened, so this tip can be placed on the peritoneum. A general surgery team is there for repair of the ascending colon; this resembled a gastric structure vs. the distal intestines, so its contents were clear with minimal bile, and there was no digested intestinal content. Her incision was widened a few cm and the anterior surface of the stomach antrum was observed (Figure 1). The perforated area was repaired with a double layer of primary suture. It was observed that there was no leakage by administering methylene blue with the inserted nasogastric tube, so the operative area was irrigated with saline, and the fascia was closed without the distal end of the shunt placed in the abdomen, given the possibility of infection. The distal end was placed in the subcutaneous region of the peritoneum in a second surgery, with precautions to prevent drainage. In the postoperative period, oral intake was started by removing the nasogastric tube, which was accomplished after control with a fluoroscopic upper gastrointestinal system passage graph. The patient was discharged without any abnormality in the graph. After ~1 month, gastroscopy was performed. There was no abnormality in the repair area with other gastroscopic findings. The distal end in the right quadrant of the abdomen was reoperated after the shunt was active and cerebrospinal fluid drainage was ensured; it was advanced to the abdomen and left on the peritoneum after a controlled, gradual incision (Figure 2). There was no pathological finding at discharge with routine controls.

Figure 1: Supramuscular placement of the distal end of the lumboperitoneal shunt after primary repair and abdominal closure of the gastric perforation site



Figure 2: Placement of the distal end of the lumboperitoneal shunt in the peritoneum following surgery



Discussion

The most common complication with lumboperitoneal shunts is obstruction, and the second complication is intracranial hypotension, while infectious complications are among them [5]. In addition, studies report that the mortality rate can reach 2% in patients who have undergone shunt placement in the past [6], as a perioperative complication had developed. The gastric perforation was noticed in time, and brought under control with follow-up and control imaging in the postoperative period.

Rather than an iatrogenic intestinal injury, we had an extremely wide, elongated gastric structure that allows complications, extending to the right quadrant. It is clear that a full stomach can expand by liters, so preoperative fasting precluded pathology after gastric emptying, which occupies a large anatomical space. In addition, perforations are observed more frequently in patients with gastric pathology, which prompted us to question this situation [7]. Obesity, which is occasionally present due to pseudotumor cerebri, emphasizes caution for nutritional problems and gastric pathology, but there was no obvious abnormality in this patient.

Conclusion

Frequent complications with lumboperitoneal shunts are obstruction and intracranial hypotension, with infections also occurring. Intestinal injury is rare in this case. Obesity, which may be present with pseudotumor cerebri saw no obvious abnormality in this patient. The team must be cautious with nutritional problems and gastric pathologies in such patients.

References

 Z. Önen Ö, Güven FMK. Üst Gastrointestinal Sistem Endoskopisi Sonrası Görülen Karın Ağrısında Nadir ama Ölümcül Bir Komplikasyon; İyatrojenik Mide Perforasyonu, CausaPedia. 2020;9(3):110-3.
 3. Eren Y, Psödotümör Serebri Sendromu, Klinik Özellikler. Ankara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi

Outcome of Patients with Idiopathic Adult Hydrocephalus Syndrome. Neurology. 2000;55(4):576-8.
7.Paspatis GA, Dumonceau JM, Barthet M, Meisner S, Repici A, Saunders BP, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Iatrogenic Endoscopic Perforations: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy. 2014;46(8):693-711.

The National Library of Medicine (NLM) citation style guide has been used in this paper.

Svanes C. Trends in Perforated Peptic Ulcer: Incidence, Etiology, Treatment, and Prognosis. World J Surg. 2000 Mar;24(3):277-83.

^{3.}Letti T, Foudunitoi Sectori Senatona, Kinik Özenikel. Aikata Universitesi Tip Fakutesi Mecmuasi. 2019;72(1):61-5.

Jagistan F, Orgen Z, Kanaogu KE, Dagistan F, Hanaogu KE, Jagistan F, Halostan Hastalanida yan Certanisi Sonuçları, Sakarya Tip Dergisi, 2021;11(2):388-94.
 Akçakaya NH, Akçakaya MO, Sencer A, Yapıcı Z. İdiyopatik İntrakraniyal Hipertansiyon: Tanı ve Tedavi Yaklaşımı, Turk J Neurol. 2017;23:43-50.

Tedavi Yaklaşımı, Turk J Neurol. 2017;23:43-50.
6.Malm J, Kristensen B, Stegmayr B, Fagerlund M, Koskinen L-O. Three Year Survival and Functional