Journal of Surgery and Medicine e-ISSN: 2602-2079

e-ISSN: 2602-2079 https://jsurgmed.com/

Genetic alterations in azoospermia patients may reveal potential biomarkers for male infertility: A bioinformatic study

Duru Aras-Tosun

Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Histology, and Embryology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ankara University, Ankara, 06560, Turkey

> **ORCID ID of the author(s)** DAT: 0000-0001-8236-4315

Corresponding Author

Duru Aras-Tosun Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Histology, and Embryology, Faculty of Dentistry, Ankara University, Ankara, 06560, Turkey E-mail: duruaras@gmail.com

Ethics Committee Approval

This study was approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Ankara University (Document number: 39-837).

All procedures in this study involving human participants were performed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

Conflict of Interest No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

> Published 2023 March 22

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s) Published by JOSAM This is an open access articel distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.



Abstract

Background/Aim: Azoospermia is defined as the absence of sperm in semen and is one of the most common causes of male infertility, with a prevalence of 10-15% in infertile men. Conventional methods for semen analysis do not provide a clear understanding of the etiology of azoospermia. Although testicular biopsy may exclude obstructive cases, non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA) treatment is limited due to a limited understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms. Analysis of genetic alterations in azoospermia patients compared to the fertile population may be a valuable tool for determining diagnostic biomarkers for male infertility. This study aims to use bioinformatic tools to determine the top candidates in certain pathways altered in azoospermia.

Methods: Expression data (GSE108886) of the differential testicular transcriptome in patients with NOA was selected from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. Testicular RNA was harvested from azoospermia patients (n=11) and healthy controls (n=1, pooled sample). The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were examined using GEO2R software. Biological pathways were identified through the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG). Construction of the protein network and detection of hub genes were conducted in the STRING database. Data validation was performed via ELISA assay for the FOXO3 gene in obstructive and NOA patients. Significance was set at *P*-value <0.05.

Results: In NOA patients, 2115 genes were upregulated, and 1753 genes were downregulated compared to the control group. Ninety-one genes involved in spermatogenesis were downregulated. KEGG analysis revealed that the glucagon signaling, AMPK signaling, insulin and estrogen signaling, and oocyte meiosis pathways were upregulated, while the regulation of actin cytoskeleton, MAPK signaling pathway, focal adhesion, and chemical carcinogenesis – reactive oxygen species pathways were downregulated. Downstream genes with the highest score were PSMA4, PSMA6, PSMC1, PSME4, and UBA52, which are responsible for the ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation. The top hub genes with increasing expression were RPS18, RPS2, and RPS4X

Conclusion: Although hub genes selected within the altering pathways may serve as a diagnostic tool for NOA, further validation of the presented data is necessary, as protein-protein interactions may not reflect alterations in gene expression *in vivo*.

Keywords: male infertility, azoospermia, bioinformatic analysis, GEO, microarray

Introduction

The inability of a male to impregnate a fertile female following regular sexual intercourse for 12 months or more is defined as male infertility [1]. While congenital, systemic, and environmental risk factors exist, conventional semen analysis does not always provide information on male fertility potential or etiology. Azoospermia, which is simply defined as the absence of sperm in the semen, affects 1% of the male population and 10-15% of infertile men [2]. Obstructive azoospermia (OA) results from a blockage or missing connection along the reproductive tract, while non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA) may be due to impaired spermatogenesis or testicular dysfunction caused by genetic disorders [3]. While OA can be treated by surgery, both the diagnosis and treatment of NOA are limited [4]. Therefore, identifying altered genes involved in NOA pathology as potential diagnostic biomarkers for novel treatment options is crucial.

Several genetic factors have been linked to NOA, including karyotype abnormalities such as Klinefelter syndrome, translocations, and deletions, including Y chromosome microdeletions of the AZFa, AZFb, and AZFc subregions [5]. Other genetic factors include but are not limited to, Kallmann syndrome [6], mild androgen insensitivity syndrome [7], and mutations in genes involved in spermatogenesis, such as TEX11 [8,9] and FSHR [10].

In mammals, spermatogenesis involves over 40 stages, where the morphology, cellular components, genetics, and epigenetics of the male germ cell undergo significant changes [11,12]. During these stages, different protein groups are organized for stage-specific cellular events through sensitive genetic adjustments. Conventional semen analysis does not always provide information on fertility potential or etiology in idiopathic cases [13]. Therefore, examining semen should include protein-protein interactions and alterations in proteincoding genes at all stages of spermatogenesis for NOA patients, highlighting the urgent need for diagnostic markers.

Recently, several genes coding for cell junction proteins, transcription factors, cytokines, proteases, and protease inhibitors have been proposed as markers of NOA in numerous animal studies [14]. Despite the guidance of these studies, the excessive number of proteins involved in spermatogenesis limits the prediction of target genes. Thus human studies have been limited [15-17]. In the last decade, bioinformatics has transformed the field of reproductive medicine by providing a powerful tool for analyzing and interpreting large-scale genomic data. This study aims to use bioinformatic tools to reveal genetic alterations in NOA patients and identify specific genes and pathways that may be involved in sperm production. Through this approach, potential biomarkers for male infertility may be identified for diagnosing and treating the disease.

Materials and methods

Data acquisition

The study was designed as a bioinformatic investigation to identify genetic alterations in azoospermia patients and determine potential biomarkers for male infertility. Total testicular transcriptome data was selected from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database for various types of azoospermia. The GSE108886 dataset was obtained by testicular biopsy, and total testicular RNA from 11 azoospermia patients and one pooled control testicular RNA sample were analyzed via Illumina HumanHT-12 V4.0 expression chip. The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between groups were analyzed using the GEO2R online tool, and statistically significant DEGs (P<0.01, log2FC ≥ 0 or ≤ 0) were compared using the Venny program.

Functional enrichment analysis

The alterations in molecular pathways and biological processes in the dataset were analyzed using the DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) online tool. Gene Ontology (GO) was determined for biological processes (BP), cellular components (CC), and molecular functions (MF) subgroups. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) was used to identify biological pathways for DEGs. Terms with *P*-value <0.01 were considered statistically significant.

Protein-protein interactions

The DEGs were imported into the STRING database to determine protein-protein interactions (PPI). A confidence limit of >0.4 was set for constructing the protein interaction network. The network's topological properties were analyzed using Cytoscape software (Cytoscape v3.9.2), and the most interacted proteins within the defined network were selected as the hub genes. Protein clusters were determined using MCODE analysis in highly interconnected regions.

Data validation

Data was confirmed via ELISA assay for testicular tissues from one obstructive and one NOA patient. Following diagnosis, signed consent forms were collected from each patient for the further use of the remaining testicular tissue. Ethical approval was granted by the Ankara University Local Ethics Committee (Document number: 39-837). Protein extraction was performed using tissue lysis buffer (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) on ice for 1 h. 100 μ l of standard or samples were added to a 96-well plate and incubated for 90 min at 37°C. Following a brief wash and a 1-h incubation in biotinylated FOXO3 antibody (MyBioSource.com Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) at the same temperature, horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and substrate reagents were added. Absorbance was detected at 450 nm.

Statistical analysis

Normally distributed data were evaluated using a oneway ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) test, and non-parametric data were analyzed using Sidak's multiple comparisons test in GraphPad Prism Software version 9.0.0. A *P*-value of <0.01 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Identification and functional analysis of DEGs

The GSE108886 dataset was analyzed using GEO2R to calculate *P* and log2FC values for conditions where *P*<0.05 and log2FC values are ≥ 0 or ≤ 0 , respectively. The results showed that 2115 genes were upregulated and 1753 genes were downregulated in azoospermia patients compared to the control group.

Additional analysis of DEGs was performed using the DAVID software to investigate pathway enrichment. The results revealed that the DEGs were significantly enriched in the 'Molecular Function (MF)' group, followed by the 'Biological Process (BP)' and 'Cellular Component (CC)' groups (P<0.01). Among the subgroups, the most notable changes in gene numbers were observed in the mitochondrion, spermatogenesis, cell differentiation, motile cilium, and microtubule. Table 1 shows the GO terms and the list of important genes that increased or decreased.

The KEGG pathway analysis identified 16 downregulated and 11 upregulated gene clusters. Among them, the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton, MAPK signaling, and glucagon signaling pathways showed the most significant changes (Table 2).

Table 1: GO analysis of altered genes in azoospermia patients.

| Category | Term | Count | | |
|-------------|--|-------|--|--|
| Downregula | ted genes | | | |
| Biological | GO:0000398~mRNA splicing, via spliceosome | 14 | | |
| process | GO:0002181~cytoplasmic translation | | | |
| | GO:0030968~endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response | 8 | | |
| | GO:0006412~translation | 13 | | |
| | GO:0051496~positive regulation of stress fiber assembly | 6 | | |
| | GO:0045454~cell redox homeostasis | 5 | | |
| | GO:0030433~ubiquitin dependent ERAD pathway | 6 | | |
| Cellular | GO:0005739~mitochondrion | 58 | | |
| component | GO:0005681~spliceosomal complex | | | |
| F | GO:0005840~ribosome | | | |
| | GO:0022627~cytosolic small ribosomal subunit | | | |
| | GO:0022626~cytosolic ribosome | | | |
| | GO:0005769~early endosome | 16 | | |
| | GO:0071013~catalytic step 2 spliceosome | 8 | | |
| | GO:0031234~extrinsic component of cytoplasmic side of plasma | 6 | | |
| | membrane | 0 | | |
| Molecular | GO:0019843~rRNA hinding | 6 | | |
| function | GO:0003735~structural constituent of ribosome | 12 | | |
| | GO:0003779~actin binding | 16 | | |
| | GO:0051015~actin filament hinding | 12 | | |
| Uprogulated | genes | 12 | | |
| Biological | GO:0007283-spermatogenesis | 01 | | |
| brocess | GO:0007283~spermatogenesis | 72 | | |
| process | GO:0060285-cilium dependent cell motility | 11 | | |
| | GO:0007018 microtubula based movement | 17 | | |
| | GO:0060204 ailium movement involved in cell motility | 7 | | |
| | CO:00025158 evter dynam accombly | 0 | | |
| | CO.0008152 metabolic process | 6 | | |
| | GO:0008152~metabolic process | 0 | | |
| | GO:0018093~protein polygiutaniyiation | 5 | | |
| | GO:0061621~canonical giycolysis | 3 | | |
| | GO:0018105~peptidyl-serine phosphorylation | 18 | | |
| | GO:0006096~glycolytic process | 7 | | |
| | GO:0006457~protein folding | 16 | | |
| Cellular | GO:0031514~motile cilium | 50 | | |
| component | GO:0005858~axonemal dynein complex | 9 | | |
| | GO:0036157~outer dynein arm | 8 | | |
| | GO:0005874~microtubule | 42 | | |
| | GO:0030286~dynein complex | 10 | | |
| Molecular | GO:0051959~dynein light intermediate chain binding | 11 | | |
| function | GO:0008569~ATP-dependent microtubule motor activity, minus- | | | |
| | end-directed | | | |
| | GO:0003796~lysozyme activity | 7 | | |
| | GO:0045505~dynein intermediate chain binding | 11 | | |
| | GO:0003777~microtubule motor activity | 12 | | |
| | GO:0015631~tubulin binding | 11 | | |
| | GO:0004674~protein serine/threonine kinase activity | 36 | | |
| | GO:0051082~unfolded protein binding | 15 | | |

GO: Gene ontology

Table 2: Altered KEGG pathways in azoospermia patients.

JOSAM

| KEGG Pathway | Co | Downregulated genes |
|--|----|---|
| hsa04810.Regulation of | 17 | ITGB1 CVEIP1 ROCK2 MSN RHOA SI COA1 |
| actin cytoskeleton | 17 | CRKL CXCL12 ARPC3 PDGEC GNA12 MVH0 |
| actin cytoskeleton | | PIPAK2A RACI PENI RAFI CRK |
| head/612. Antigan | 0 | HI A DDD4 HSDA5 NEVC DSME2 DSME1 |
| nsa04012.Antigen | 2 | PEYANK CALP B2M LGMN |
| processing and presentation | | KI MINK, CHER, DZM, LOWIN |
| bso04722.Nourotrophin | 11 | SHC1 ADHCDID CDD2 DAC1 EOVO2 DAE1 |
| signaling nathway | 11 | CAMERCE COR PHOA ATEA CORI |
| haa02010.Dibagama | 12 | MDDL 4 DDC4Y MDDL 20 DDC4V2 DDC19 DDLD1 |
| iisa05010.Kibosoine | 12 | RPL12, RPS3, RPL27, RPS2, RPS412, RPS18, RPL6 |
| hsa04110:Cell cycle | 10 | CCND2, YWHAB, CDK4, GADD45A, MYC, SKP2, GADD45G, CDC25B, CDC14B, YWHAH |
| hsa04510:Focal adhesion | 13 | ITGB1, SHC1, ROCK2, CAV2, VEGFC, RHOA, CRKL, CCND2, PDGFC, GRB2, RAC1, RAF1, CRK |
| hsa04210:Apoptosis | 10 | CASP7, TUBA1A, GADD45A, CTSK, HTRA2, FADD, |
| | | RAF1, LMNB2, GADD45G, ATF4 |
| hsa04530:Tight junction | 11 | ITGB1, TUBA1A, ROCK2, CDK4, ARPC3, MYH9, PARD6G, MSN, RAC1, RHOA, AMOT |
| hsa05208:Chemical | 13 | COX7B, NDUFA6, NDUFA10, NDUFA1, AKR1A1, |
| carcinogenesis - reactive | | FOXO3, COX5B, COX7A1, UQCRH, GRB2, RAC1, |
| oxygen species | | RAF1, ACP1 |
| hsa04218:Cellular | 10 | PPP3CB, CCND2, TRAF3IP2, CDK4, GADD45A, MYC, |
| senescence | - | FOXO3, RAF1, SQSTM1, GADD45G |
| hsa04012:ErbB | 7 | SHC1, MYC, GRB2, RAF1, CAMK2G, CRK, CRKL |
| signaling pathway | | |
| hsa04010:MAPK | 15 | GADD45A, SRF, VEGFC, GADD45G, CDC25B, CRKL, |
| signaling pathway | | PPP3CB, MYC, PDGFC, GNA12, GRB2, RAC1, RAF1, CRK, ATF4 |
| hsa00010:Glycolysis/ Gluconeogenesis | 6 | LDHB, PDHA1, PGAM1, AKR1A1, PGK1, PGAM4 |
| hsa04670:Leukocyte | 8 | ITGB1, CXCL12, ROCK2, CTNNA1, MSN, RAC1, |
| transendothelial | | RHOA, RAPGEF4 |
| migration | | |
| hsa04062:Chemokine | 11 | GNG10, CXCL12, SHC1, ROCK2, GRB2, RAC1, |
| signaling pathway | | FOXO3, RAF1, CRK, RHOA, CRKL |
| KEGG Pathway | Co | Upregulated genes |
| hsa04922:Glucagon | 16 | ATF2, PDHA2, PGAM2, CALML3, CPT1B, ACACA, |
| signaling pathway | | LDHC, G6PC2, CREB1, PPP3CC, PPP3R2, PRKACG, PHKG2, AKT3, PFKP, PCK2 |
| hsa00010:Glycolysis/ | 11 | LDHC, GPI, HK3, PDHA2, G6PC2, PGAM2, GAPDHS, PGK2, PEKP, PCK2, HK1 |
| hsaf14152.4 MPK | 13 | STRADA CAB39L TSC1 CPT1B ACACA G6PC2 |
| signaling pathway | 15 | CREB1, PP2R1B, PPP2R3C, PPP2R2B, AKT3, PFKP, PCK2 |
| hsa04066:HIF-1 | 12 | LDHC, HK3, PDHA2, FLT1, EGLN2, NOS2, NOS3 |
| signaling pathway | | AKT3. CUL2. PGK2. PFKP. HK1 |
| hsa04114:Oocvte | 13 | PLK1, CUL1, SPDYE4, CALML3, SPDYE6, PPP1CC |
| meiosis | 10 | SPDYE2B, PPP3CC, PPP3R2, PLCZ1, PPP2R1B, PRKACG_PGR |
| hsa04910:Ipsulin | 13 | BRAF, CALML3, TSC1, ACACA, HK1, MAPK10, HK3 |
| signaling pathway | | PPP1CC, G6PC2, PRKACG, PHKG2, AKT3, PCK2 |
| hsa04915:Estrogen | 13 | ATF2, HSPA1L, NOS3, KRT23, KRT34, CALMU3 |
| signaling pathway | 10 | KRT33A, CREB1, PRKACG, KRT15, AKT3, RARA |
| | | PGR |
| hsa04146:Peroxisome | 9 | NOS2, PEX11A, AGPS, ACSL6, FAR2, PEX11G, CRAT, PEX13, PAOX |
| hsa04920:Adipocytokin e signaling pathway | 8 | MAPK10, G6PC2, AKT3, ACSL6, ACSBG2, CPT1B, PCK2, NFKBIB |
| hsa05230:Central | 8 | LDHC, HK3, PDHA2, NTRK3, AKT3, PGAM2, PFKP, |
| carbon metabolism in | | HK1 |
| cancer | | |
| hsa03320:PPAR | 8 | GK, ACSL6, AQP7, DBI, ACSBG2, CPT1B, PCK2, GK2 |
| signaling pathway | | |

Co: Count

PPI network analysis and identification of hub genes

The integrated PPI Network was analyzed using the STRING database, resulting in 60 nodes and 112 edges for decreasing genes and 58 nodes and 86 edges for increasing genes (*P*<0.01). The core genes were ranked according to their predicted scores using the network analyzer embedded in Cytoscape software. The hub genes were analyzed using cytoHubba, and the top 20 genes with the highest score for decreasing (Figure 1) and increasing (Figure 2) gene expressions were listed. The decreasing hub genes with the highest score were RPS18, RPS2, and RPS4X, while the increasing hub genes were PSMA4, PSMA6, PSMC1, PSME4, and UBA52. According to the GO analysis, the decreasing hub genes with the highest score were related to ribosomal translation, while the increasing hub genes were not enriched in a specific pathway.

Figure 1: Top 20 hub genes with the highest score according to STRING analysis within the identified PPI network for decreasing profiles (P<0.01). The scores decrease from red to yellow.



Figure 2: Top 20 hub genes with the highest score according to STRING analysis within the identified PPI network for increasing profiles [P<0.01]. The scores decrease from red to yellow.



Highly interconnected regions were analyzed with MCODE, and the cut-off score was set to 3 (Table 3). Protein clusters with the highest score included RPL12, RPS4X, RPL6, RPL27, RPLP1, RPS18, RPS3, EEF1A1, RPS2, and EEF1B2 for decreasing profiles, and PSME4, OAZ3, PSMA6, PSMC1, PSMA4, UBA52, and NF1 for increasing profiles, respectively.

Data validation

To validate the bioinformatic analyses, testes tissues from one obstructive and one NOA case were examined for the levels of FOXO3 protein. As predicted, the levels of FOXO3 protein were significantly decreased in the NOA sample (P=0.004).

Table 3: MCODE analysis for protein clusters in the identified PPI network.

| Score | Nodes | Edges | Downregulated genes |
|-------|-------|-------|--|
| 9,778 | 10 | 44 | RPL12, RPS4X, RPL6, RPL27, RPLP1, RPS18, RPS3, |
| | | | EEF1A1, RPS2, EEF1B2 |
| 5 | 5 | 10 | SNRNP200, SNU13, ZMAT2, SNRPB2, LSM7 |
| 4 | 4 | 6 | ITGB1, SDC2, RHOA, SHC1 |
| 3,6 | 6 | 9 | NDUFA10, NDUFA6, ATP5H, ATP5L, NDUFA1, COX5B |
| 3,333 | 4 | 5 | RCL1, DHX33, DDX49, UTP14A |
| 3,333 | 4 | 5 | STX6, VAMP3, STX7, STX10 |
| 3,333 | 4 | 5 | VCP, HSPA5, OS9, DERL1 |
| 3,333 | 7 | 10 | COMMD7, COMMD6, COPS7A, COMMD10, GRB2, VEGFC, CRK |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | RGS19, RGS1, RGS10 |
| 3 | 7 | 9 | THRA, PRDX5, PRDX1, NCOA1, RXRA, TXNRD1, TXN |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | HIST3H2A, MORF4L2, MEAF6 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | FOXO3, YWHAH, YWHAB |
| Score | Nodes | Edges | Upregulated genes |
| 6,333 | 7 | 19 | PSME4, OAZ3, PSMA6, PSMC1, PSMA4, UBA52, NF1 |
| 6 | 6 | 15 | PLAT, F2, EDN1, PLAU, THBS1, VWF |
| 5 | 5 | 10 | PAOX, NOS2, IDE, TYSND1, CRAT |
| 4,5 | 5 | 9 | COPS5, DCAF6, DCAF1, ERCC8, CRBN |
| 4 | 4 | 6 | HK3, HK1, GPI, PFKP |
| 4 | 4 | 6 | CATSPERD, CATSPERB, CATSPER1, CATSPERG |
| 3,333 | 4 | 5 | GEMIN4, NUP58, RAE1, GEMIN6 |
| 3,333 | 4 | 5 | POLR2I, GTF2A2, METTL14, POLR2D |
| 3,333 | 4 | 5 | SPA17, ROPN1L, ROPN1, AKAP3 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | UBE2V1, UBE2D3, UBE2U |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | SPAG6, SPAG16, MEIG1 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | TRAF3IP1, CLUAP1, TTC26 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | DNAH2, CFAP70, DNAH17 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | PPP2R1B, PPP2R2B, BRAF |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | BBIP1, BBS12, BBS5 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | ADSSL1, ASRGL1, IL4I1 |

Discussion

Spermatogenesis is a complex biological process that relies heavily on the genetic regulation of protein synthesis and degradation. While genome-wide analyses of testicular tissue have shed light on protein synthesis in male reproduction, little is known about protein degradation in spermatogenesis [18,19]. This study aimed to address this gap in knowledge by examining 91 genes involved in spermatogenesis and identifying hub genes through STRING analysis. Interestingly, the highest-scoring hub genes were PSMA4, PSMA6, PSMC1, PSME4, and UBA52, which have not previously been linked to protein degradation in spermatogenesis. This study is the first to highlight the potential role of these genes in this critical process.

The ubiquitination-proteasome system (UPS) regulates protein activity by facilitating protein degradation [20,21]. In this study, gene ontology (GO) analysis identified six altered genes in the ubiquitin-dependent endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation (ERAD) pathway. In mammals, sperm quality is determined in the epididymis, where sperm mature to their final developmental stage. Abnormalities on the sperm surface are detected by ubiquitin secreted by epididymal cells. Ubiquitin, a 76-amino-acid polypeptide, tags substrate proteins for proteolytic destruction via proteasomes [22]. Interestingly, our study found that UBA52, which is responsible for ubiquitin conjugation through the regulation of translation [23], was upregulated in NOA patients compared to controls. These findings suggest that regulate protein degradation UBA52 may during spermatogenesis and warrant further investigation.

studies have identified ubiquitin-related Recent proteasomes in seminal plasma, suggesting that they may regulate sperm function by modifying surface proteins [24,25]. Mutations in the PSMA4 gene have also been associated with NOA [26]. Additionally, the PSME4 protein, a proteasome responsible for histone exchange during spermatogenesis, is highly expressed in human testis according to the Protein Atlas database and has been linked to male infertility in various animal studies [27]. Interestingly, our study found that the spermatoproteasomes mentioned above were upregulated with the highest scores according to STRING analysis. Conversely, PSMA6, another proteasome found in higher concentrations in the sperm of infertile bulls [28], was also upregulated in NOA patients compared to controls. Given the relationship between sperm ubiquitination and sperm DNA defects found in the literature, our study provides reliable candidates for diagnosing NOA patients, all involved in ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation during spermatogenesis.

Our study utilized KEGG analysis to identify upregulated pathways such as glucagon signaling, AMPK signaling, insulin and estrogen signaling, and oocyte meiosis pathways. In contrast, downregulated pathways included regulation of actin cytoskeleton, MAPK signaling pathway, focal adhesion, and chemical carcinogenesis/reactive oxygen species pathways. While these pathways play a crucial role in spermatogenesis and/or spermiogenesis, genetic screening for a particular pathway is not currently available in humans. Nonetheless, our findings provide important insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying NOA and may pave the way for future studies aimed at identifying potential therapeutic targets.

Limitations

It is important to note that further validation of the presented data is necessary, as protein-protein interactions identified through STRING analysis may not reflect alterations in gene expression *in vivo*. Additional studies incorporating other approaches, such as functional assays or animal models, are needed to confirm the potential roles of these candidate genes and pathways in NOA.

Conclusion

The study demonstrated the upregulation and downregulation of genes that play important roles in mammalian reproduction. The expression of 91 genes involved in spermatogenesis was found to be decreased in patients with azoospermia when compared to controls. The study also identified hub genes, including PSMA4, PSMA6, PSMC1, PSME4, UBA52, RPS18, RPS2, and RPS4X, which were particularly clustered in the ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation pathway in spermatogenesis. These hub genes may serve as a diagnostic tool for NOA.

References

- Katz DJ, Teloken P, Shoshany O. Male infertility-the other side of the equation. Aust Fam Phys. 2017;46(9):641-6.
- Ghieh F, Mitchell V, Mandon-Pepin B, Vialard F. Genetic defects in human azoospermia. Bas Clin Androl. 2019;29(1):1-16.
- Dong M, Li H, Zhang X, Tan J. Weighted correlation gene network analysis reveals new potential mechanisms and biomarkers in non-obstructive azoospermia. Frontiers in Genetics. 2021;12:617133.
- Malcher A, Rozwadowska N, Stokowy T, Kolanowski T, Jedrzejczak P, Zietkowiak W, et al. Potential biomarkers of non-obstructive azoospermia identified in microarray gene expression analysis. Fertil Steril. 2013;100(6):1686-94. e7.
- Peña VN, Kohn TP, Herati AS. Genetic mutations contributing to non-obstructive azoospermia. Best Prac Rest CL EN. 2020;34(6):101479.
- 6. Dodé C, Hardelin J-P. Kallmann syndrome. Eur J Hum Genet. 2009;17(2):139-46.
- Batista RL, Costa EMF, Rodrigues AdS, Gomes NL, Faria Jr JA, Nishi MY, et al. Androgen insensitivity syndrome: a review. Arch Endocrin Metab. 2018;62:227-35.
- Yatsenko AN, Georgiadis AP, Röpke A, Berman AJ, Jaffe T, Olszewska M, et al. X-linked TEX11 mutations, meiotic arrest, and azoospermia in infertile men. New Engl J Med. 2015;372(22):2097-107.
- Boroujeni PB, Sabbaghian M, Totonchi M, Sodeifi N, Sarkardeh H, Samadian A, et al. Expression analysis of genes encoding TEX11, TEX12, TEX14 and TEX15 in testis tissues of men with nonobstructive azoospermia. JBRA Assist Reprod. 2018;22(3):185.
- Massart A, Lissens W, Tournaye H, Stouffs K. Genetic causes of spermatogenic failure. Asian J Androl. 2012;14(1):40.
- Marchetti F, Wyrobek AJ. Mechanisms and consequences of paternally-transmitted chromosomal abnormalities. Birth Defects Res C. 2005;75(2):112-29.
- Adler I-D. Comparison of the duration of spermatogenesis between male rodents and humans. Mutat Res-Fund Mol M. 1996;352(1-2):169-72.
- Cannarella R, Condorelli RA, Mongioi LM, La Vignera S, Calogero AE. Molecular biology of spermatogenesis: novel targets of apparently idiopathic male infertility. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21(5):1728.
- 14.Xia W, Mruk DD, Lee WM, Cheng CY. Unraveling the molecular targets pertinent to junction restructuring events during spermatogenesis using the Adjudin-induced germ cell depletion model. The J Endoc. 2007;192(3):563.
- Zheng H, Zhou X, Li D-k, Yang F, Pan H, Li T, et al. Genome-wide alteration in DNA hydroxymethylation in the sperm from bisphenol A-exposed men. Plos One. 2017;12(6):e0178535.
- Hadziselimovic F, Hadziselimovic NO, Demougin P, Krey G, Oakeley E. Piwi-pathway alteration induces LINE-1 transposon derepression and infertility development in cryptorchidism. Sex Dev. 2015;9(2):98-104.
- 17. Yanaka N, Kobayashi K, Wakimoto K, Yamada E, Imahie H, Imai Y, et al. Insertional mutation of the murine kisimo locus caused a defect in spermatogenesis. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(20):14791-4.
- Chen Y, Zheng Y, Gao Y, Lin Z, Yang S, Wang T, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq uncovers dynamic processes and critical regulators in mouse spermatogenesis. Cell Res. 2018;28(9):879-96.
- Soumillon M, Necsulea A, Weier M, Brawand D, Zhang X, Gu H, et al. Cellular source and mechanisms of high transcriptome complexity in the mammalian testis. Cell Reports. 2013;3(6):2179-90.
- 20. Glickman MH, Ciechanover A. The ubiquitin-proteasome proteolytic pathway: destruction for the sake of construction. Physiol Rev. 2002.
- 21.Sadowski M, Suryadinata R, Tan AR, Roesley SNA, Sarcevic B. Protein monoubiquitination and polyubiquitination generate structural diversity to control distinct biological processes. IUBMB Life. 2012;64(2):136-42.
- 22. Hochstrasser M. Ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation. Annu Rev Genet. 1996;30(1):405-39.
- Lord T, Law NC, Oatley MJ, Miao D, Du G, Oatley JM. A novel high throughput screen to identify candidate molecular networks that regulate spermatogenic stem cell functions. Biol Reprod. 2022;106(6):1175-90.
- 24. Kerns K, Morales P, Sutovsky P. Regulation of sperm capacitation by the 26S proteasome: an emerging new paradigm in spermatology. Biol Reprod. 2016;94(5):117, 1-9.
- Morales P, Kong M, Pizarro E, Pasten C. Participation of the sperm proteasome in human fertilization. Hum Reprod. 2003;18(5):1010-7.
- 26.Bhattacharyya S, Wilmington S, Matouschek A, editors. ATP-Dependent Proteases: The Cell's Degradation Machines. Molecular Machines in Biology: Workshop of the Cell; 2011: Cambridge University Press.

 Qian M-X, Pang Y, Liu CH, Haratake K, Du B-Y, Ji D-Y, et al. Acetylation-mediated proteasomal degradation of core histones during DNA repair and spermatogenesis. Cell. 2013;153(5):1012-24.
Kasimanickam V, Kumar N, Kasimanickam R. Investigation of sperm and seminal plasma candidate microRNAs of bulls with differing fertility and In Silico prediction of miRNA-mRNA interaction network of reproductive function. Animals. 2022;12(18):2360.