

Evaluation of the use of hormonal contraceptive methods and awareness of a group of women with cardiological symptoms and diseases

Hülya Tosun¹, Meryem Yıldız Ayvaz²

¹ Department of Midwifery of Health Science Faculty, Kütahya Health Science University, Kütahya, Turkey

² Faculty of Nursing, Koç University, Istanbul, Turkey

ORCID  of the author(s)

HT: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4871-1576>
MYA: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2821-7458>

Corresponding Author

Hülya Tosun
Department of Midwifery of Health Science Faculty, Kütahya Health Science University, Kütahya, Turkey
E-mail: hulyaerbaba3@hotmail.com
hulya.tosun@ksbu.edu.tr

Ethics Committee Approval

The study was approved by the SBU Istanbul Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Decision No: 1995, Date: September 27, 2019), and institutional permission was obtained from Beylikdüzü State Hospital. All procedures in this study involving human participants were performed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure

The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Published

2024 July 2

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s)



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>



Abstract

Background/Aim: Hormonal contraceptives can carry risks, particularly for women with chronic conditions such as heart disease and diabetes. A wide range of basic science, animal, and human studies indicate an enduringly heightened risk of venous thromboembolism, hypertension, myocardial infarction, and ischemic stroke associated with birth control hormones (estrogen and progestogen). According to the guidelines, women aged 35 and over considering hormonal contraceptives should be evaluated for vascular diseases and cardiovascular risk factors. However, the number of studies on this subject is insufficient. This study aimed to assess the use of hormonal contraceptive methods and the knowledge levels of women with cardiological symptoms and diseases attending a cardiology outpatient clinic.

Methods: A descriptive and cross-sectional study was conducted with cardiological problems (diagnosed or being treated at the Cardiology Polyclinic) of women between the ages of 18 and 45. Data were collected through face-to-face interviews using the Sociodemographic Characteristics Form and the Structured Contraception Knowledge Level Form. The study sample consisted of 190 women visiting a state hospital's Cardiology Polyclinic in Istanbul between October 2019 and January 2020.

Results: Among the participants, 24.8% used hormonal contraceptives, and 15.8% reported facing problems while using them, most commonly experiencing constant headaches (53.3%) and iron deficiency (30%). The study revealed that 7.9% of the participants had cardiovascular disease, with 52.1% experiencing cardiological symptoms, such as palpitations and rapid heartbeat. A total of 24.7% had received family planning counseling, and 59.6% believed that counseling influenced their contraceptive choices. Those who received counseling showed greater knowledge regarding the safety of progesterone-only birth control pills for women with heart disease.

Conclusion: Women with cardiovascular disease should be well informed about the risks associated with hormonal contraceptives. The study emphasizes the importance of counseling services provided by nurses in cardiology and obstetrics clinics to guide women toward safer contraceptive options. Continuous monitoring and education are essential to ensure women's health and safety in contraceptive choices.

Keywords: hormonal contraceptives, cardiologic disease, cardiologic symptoms, contraceptive counseling, progesterone

Introduction

The main objectives of contraceptives include preventing pregnancy-related risks in women, reducing fetal mortality, preventing sexually transmitted diseases such as human immunodeficiency virus / acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS), reducing unwanted pregnancies and induced abortions, improving education, reducing adolescent pregnancies, and slowing down population growth [1]. In particular, hormonal contraceptives should be used selectively in cases of chronic diseases such as heart disease and diabetes [2,3]. Due to their estrogen and progestogen content, hormonal contraceptives play a crucial role in developing conditions such as venous thromboembolism, hypertension, myocardial infarction, and ischemic stroke [4]. The estrogen functions by increasing the plasma levels of particular coagulation factors (Factor II, Factor VII, and Factor X) and lowering the plasma levels of antithrombin III. These effects are dose-dependent, and the risk increases within four months after starting the medication [3].

The World Health Organization (WHO) has published a guide called "Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use (WHO-MEC)" to ensure the safety of various contraceptive methods for individuals with specific health conditions and characteristics. According to the guidelines, combined oral contraceptives (OCs) from the WHO-MEC Stage 4 contraceptive group are not recommended and/or contraindicated in risk factors, such as venous thromboembolism, obesity (BMI >35), smoking in women over 35 years of age, ischemic and cyanotic heart disease, heart failure, arrhythmia, and the use of bosentan [5].

Oral contraceptives may increase the risk of vascular disease by acting directly on the vessel wall, disrupting fat and carbohydrate metabolism, affecting the hemostatic system, and influencing blood pressure. COC use in smokers over 35 has an anti-synergistic effect on the cardiovascular system [4,6]. It also increases the risk of arterial thrombosis and hypertension. On the other hand, contraceptives containing only progesterone do not increase the risk of venous thromboembolism, hypertension, ischemic stroke, or myocardial infarction, because they lack estrogen. Progesterone-containing oral contraceptives are suitable for almost every woman, and for those with hemodynamically unstable heart disease, the intrauterine device (IUD) is safe and highly effective. Thus, informing women diagnosed with cardiovascular disease about hormonal contraceptives is necessary [4,7] and of public health importance for women. It is essential for nurses to offer guidance on preventive health services to patients. However, previous studies have not adequately addressed this issue. This study aims to investigate the use of hormonal contraceptive methods and the knowledge levels of women with cardiovascular symptoms and diseases.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted as a descriptive and cross-sectional research to evaluate the characteristics and knowledge level of women with cardiological symptoms and diseases using hormonal contraceptive methods.

The study sample consisted of 190 women who applied to the Cardiology Polyclinic of a state hospital in Istanbul between October 2019 and January 2020. In the study, the 3-month average

number of patients attending the outpatient clinic was determined, and the plan was to involve 190 patients. Consequently, when working with 190 patients and considering Cohen's medium effect size ($d=0.5$) with a margin of error of 0.05, the statistical power of the study was calculated to be 95%. Participants were selected using a simple random sampling method, which is a non-probability sampling method. All patients attending the outpatient clinic were included in the research.

The study included women between the ages of 18 and 45 who had the ability to communicate verbally, were sexually active and had cardiological problems diagnosed or being treated at the Cardiology Polyclinic. Participants were required to have no diagnosis of any psychiatric disorder and express willingness to participate verbally or in writing. Those who did not meet the mentioned criteria were excluded from the study.

Data were collected through face-to-face interviews, with an average duration of 15-20 minutes, on weekdays when both the patients and the researchers were available. Data collection tools included the Sociodemographic Characteristics Form and the Structured Contraception Knowledge Level Form. The Sociodemographic Characteristics Form consisted of questions about the patient's age, height, weight, marital status, education level, and income status. The Structured Contraception Knowledge Level Form was prepared by the researchers based on the literature and was presented to three nursing faculty members for their expert opinions.

A pilot test was conducted with a group of 20 individuals, and their feedback was obtained to measure the adequacy of the surveys. It included questions about contraceptive use and experienced symptoms, cardiovascular disease and related symptoms, and the awareness of women with cardiovascular disease and/or symptoms regarding the use of hormonal contraceptive methods.

The accuracy of information provided by participants regarding dependent variables, such as medication use, lifestyle habits, and other medical conditions, as well as their willingness to consciously provide misleading responses is a common source of bias in studies of this nature. However, it is believed that conducting the study face-to-face and employing a pilot study minimizes such issues. While it was essential to have a larger sample size in the study, the study group's need to have characteristics such as cardiovascular disease and symptoms prevented this.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 26 package program. Frequencies (number, percentage) were provided for categorical variables, while descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) were given for numerical variables. Chi-square analysis was used to interpret relationships between two independent categorical variables. Fisher's Exact test results were utilized when the expected value assumption was not met in the chi-square analysis. A statistical significance level of 0.05 was considered in the analyses.

Ethics

The study was approved by the SBU Istanbul Training and Research Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Decision No: 1995, Date: September 27, 2019), and institutional permission was obtained from Beylikdüzü State Hospital.

Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, the procedures involved, and their rights as participants. Written and verbal consent was obtained from all participating women.

Results

As seen in Table 1, the average age of the participants is 35.80 (7.27) years, with an average BMI of 25.80 (4.61). In terms of education level, 25.8% of the participants have completed high school, 25.8% have a college education, and 25.8% have postgraduate education. Regarding economic status, the majority reported being in good economic condition. The overwhelming majority of the participants are married.

Table 1: Distributions by sociodemographic characteristics (n=190)

	Mean	SD
Age	35.80	7.27
Weight	68.49	12.85
BMI	25.80	4.61
	n	%
Education Status		
Primary education	27	14.2
High school	49	25.8
College	49	25.8
License	16	8.4
Postgraduate	49	25.8
Economic Status		
My income is less than my expenses	7	3.7
My income is equal to my expenses	78	41.1
I'm in good condition	105	55.3
Marital status		
Married	174	91.6
Single	16	8.4

SD: standard deviation

Upon examining Table 2, it becomes apparent that within the group of hormonal contraceptive users, 22% opt for progesterone mini-pills, 16.2% prefer monthly injections, and the majority, 59.4%, choose estrogen-progesterone combination pills. It is noteworthy that 15.8% of the participants encountered difficulties with birth control pills. Among these participants, the most frequently reported issue was persistent headaches, reported by 53.3%, followed by iron deficiency, reported by 30%.

Table 2: Contraceptive use and symptoms experienced

(n=190)	n	%
Current Contraception Status		
Yes	177	93.2
No	13	6.8
Contraceptive Method		
Monthly Injections	6	3.4
Vaginal Ring	1	0.6
Hormone Intrauterine Devices	19	10.7
Birth Control Pills	20	11.3
Male Condom	60	33.9
Protective gel	1	0.6
Calendar method	16	9.0
Withdrawal method	38	21.5
Tube ligation	8	4.5
Hormonal contraceptives (n=37)		
Mini Pills with Progesterone	8	21.6
Monthly Injections	6	16.2
Pills Containing Estrogen-Progesterone Combination	22	59.4
Vaginal Ring	1	2.78
Having Problems Using Oral Contraceptives		
Yes	30	15.8
No	160	84.2
* Problems Experienced While Using Birth Control Pill (n=30)		13.3
Migraine	7	23.3
Persistent Headache	16	53.3
Vertigo	2	6.7
Anemia	7	23.3
Psychiatric Discomfort	4	13.3
B12 Deficiency	5	16.7
Iron Deficiency	9	30.0
Heart Disease	1	3.3
No Problem	19	40.4

* In this variable, the participants were able to give more than one answer.

Table 3 indicates that 46.7% of those with cardiovascular disease use drugs related to cardiovascular disease. Of these

participants, 52.1% experienced cardiological symptoms; 40.4% complained of palpitations; and 28.3% reported unexplained rapid heartbeat. Family planning counseling had previously been received by 24.7%, and 59.6% of those women indicated that this counseling affected the method of protection they use.

Table 3: Information on having cardiovascular disease and experiencing symptoms

(n=190)	n	%
Do You Have Cardiovascular Disease?		
Yes	15	7.9
No	175	92.1
Diagnosis of Cardiovascular Disease (n=15)		
Heart valve diseases	3	20.0
Rhythm Disorders	6	40.0
Hypertension	6	40.0
Drug Use for Cardiovascular Disease (n=15)		
Yes	7	46.7
No	8	53.3
Experiencing Cardiological Symptoms for the First Time		
Yes	99	52.1
No	91	47.9
* Symptoms (n=99)		
Leg Swelling, Redness Pain	17	17.2
Blue Purple Red Color on Skin	4	4.0
Shortness of breath	14	14.1
Chest Pain	4	4.0
Unexplained Cough	1	1.0
Unexplained Fast Heartbeat	28	28.3
Sweating Restlessness Fear of death	11	11.1
Feeling of fainting	9	9.1
Nausea	27	27.3
Palpitation	40	40.4
Fatigue quickly	19	19.2
Other	2	2.0
Getting Family Planning Counseling Before		
Yes	47	24.7
No	143	75.3
Did the Counseling Received Affect the Method Used?		
Yes	28	59.6
No	19	40.4

* In this variable, the participants were able to give more than one answer.

According to Table 4, the utilization rate of hormonal intrauterine devices among patients with cardiovascular disease is 28.6%, which is significantly higher than the rate among patients without cardiovascular disease.

Table 4: Hormonal birth control methods used by cardiovascular disease status

	Cardiovascular Disease		Chi-square	P-value
	Yes	No		
	n	%	n	%
Vaginal Ring				
Yes	1	7.1	0	0.0
No	13	92.9	163	100.0
Hormone Intrauterine Devices				
Yes	4	28.6	15	9.2
No	10	71.4	148	90.8
Birth Control Pills				
Yes	1	7.1	19	11.7
No	13	92.9	144	88.3

* P<0.05

In Table 5, 41.7% of women answered “yes” in agreement to the statement, “Women with heart disease (those who have had clots before, etc.) should not use birth control pills containing estrogen hormone”. In addition, 19.5% answered “yes” to “Birth control pills (mini-pills) containing only progesterone hormone are safer for women with heart disease”.

Table 6 applies the statistical analysis, which shows a statistically significant relationship between the status of previously receiving family planning counseling and the answers given to the statement “Only birth control pills (mini-pills) containing only progesterone hormone are safer for women with heart disease” (P=0.005). Accordingly, the proportion of those who previously received family planning counseling responding “yes” to the statement compared to those who had not previously received family planning counseling was significantly higher than the rate of those who said “yes” to the statement “The pill is safer for women”.

Table 5: Findings of women’s awareness of the use of hormonal methods by heart patients

	(n=190)	%
Women Over 35 Who Smoker Should Not Use Estrogen Containing Estrogen Hormone		
Yes	80	42.1
No	8	4.2
I don't know	102	53.7
Birth Control Pills Containing Estrogen Hormone May Cause Clot Formation In Women With Heart Disease		
Yes	65	37.1
No	1	0.6
I don't know	109	62.3
Women with Heart Disease (Those Who Have Had a Clot Before. etc.) Should Not Take Birth Control Pills Containing Estrogen Hormone		
Yes	73	41.7
No	2	1.1
I don't know	100	57.1
Containing Progesterone Only Birth Control Pills (Mini Pills) Are Safer For Women With Heart Disease		
Yes	37	19.5
No	10	5.3
I don't know	143	75.3

Table 6: Knowledge levels on hormonal contraceptive use

	Getting Family Planning Counseling Before				Chi-square	P-value
	Yes		No			
	(n=190)	%	(n=190)	%		
Women Over 35 Who Smoker Should Not Use Estrogen Containing Estrogen Hormone					2.134	0.344
Yes	24	51.1	56	39.2		
No	2	4.3	6	4.2		
I don't know	21	44.7	81	56.6		
Birth Control Pills Containing Estrogen Hormone May Cause Clot Formation In Women With Heart Disease					3.055	0.217
Yes	21	47.7	44	33.6		
No	0	0.0	1	0.8		
I don't know	23	52.3	86	65.6		
Women with Heart Disease (Those Who Have Had a Clot Before. etc.) Should Not Take Birth Control Pills Containing Estrogen Hormone					1.369	0.504
Yes	20	46.5	53	40.2		
No	1	2.3	1	0.8		
I don't know	22	51.2	78	59.1		
Containing Progesterone Only Birth Control Pills (Mini Pills) Are Safer For Women With Heart Disease					10.691	0.005*
Yes	16	34.0	21	14.7		
No	4	8.5	6	4.2		
I don't know	27	57.4	116	81.1		
I Can Explain the Side Effects of Birth Control Pills and Hormonal Methods					2.492	0.288
Yes	12	60.0	66	42.0		
No	7	35.0	84	53.5		
Yes But Not Enough	1	5.0	7	4.5		
I Know the Side Effects of Birth Control Pills and Hormonal Methods					0.074	0.853
Yes	14	70.0	113	72.0		
No	6	30.0	44	28.0		
I'm undecided	0	0.0	0	0.0		
I Know What To Do When I Have A Side Effect With Birth Control Pills And Hormonal Methods					0.387	0.534
Yes	10	50.0	90	57.3		
No	10	50.0	67	42.7		
I'm undecided	0	0.0	0	0.0		

Discussion

In this study, 24.8% (n=46) of the participants were using hormonal contraceptives. This percentage aligns with the findings of a previous study by Acar et al [8], where 21% of the respondents reported using hormonal contraceptives. In our study, 15.8% (n=30) of the participants reported experiencing problems while using birth control pills, similar to the findings of Acar et al’s study, where women using birth control pills complained of headaches, amenorrhea, and weight gain [8].

Studies have established a link between hormonal contraceptives and risks, such as thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, and ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke [9]. In this study, 7.9% of the participants had previously had cardiovascular disease, and these patients should be protected from the abovementioned risks. Data from the Chronic Diseases and Risk Factors Study in Turkey provided important information on chronic diseases, revealing that the incidence of coronary heart disease in women aged 15 and over was 2.3% [10,11]. The difference may be attributed to the fact that this study was conducted specifically in the cardiology outpatient clinic. Among the participants, 52.1% (n=99) experienced cardiological symptoms, with 40.4% reporting palpitations and 28.3% experiencing an unexplained rapid heartbeat. Considering

cardiovascular diseases rank first among the most common chronic diseases worldwide (37%) [11], our findings support this. Of the total participants, 24.7% had previously received family planning counseling, and among those, 59.6% believed that it influenced their choice of contraceptive method. In a study of women who received counseling, 95.2% preferred the method suggested by the family planning counseling service staff, indicating a significant influence of counseling on women’s preferences [12]. The difference in our study may be attributed to the fact that women with cardiological symptoms sought a safer contraceptive method, leading to a relatively higher use of condoms (33%) in our study.

The rate of using hormonal intrauterine devices in patients with cardiovascular disease was 28.6%, whereas it is 9.2% in patients without cardiovascular disease. In another study, IUD use among women aged 15-49 was 21%, which aligns with our results [13]. Additionally, the rate of using birth control pills in patients with cardiovascular disease was 7.1%, whereas it was 11.7% in those without cardiovascular disease. This difference may be due to heart patients’ preference for contraceptive methods with fewer side effects [12].

It was explained to 42.1% of the participants that women over 35 years of age should not use estrogen-containing preparations. Additionally, most participants were aware that

individuals with heart conditions should avoid using combined oral contraceptives and that contraceptives containing progesterone were more reliable than combined ones.

Counseling services provided to women may have contributed to these results. In one study, 95.2% of women preferred the method first suggested by the family planning counseling staff. It was observed that family planning counseling services significantly influenced women's preferences [12]. As both venous and arterial thrombosis are the most common serious side effects of combined oral contraceptives, modifications have been made to the hormonal components of contraceptive pills in the last decade to reduce harmful thrombotic risks. However, an increase in the CRP level is still evident [14]. On the other hand, third-generation low-dose combined OCs can cause myocardial infarction in young women, even in the absence of other cardiovascular risk factors [15]. Therefore, as these risks are explained during counseling services, it is likely that women will turn to mini-pills.

Findings indicate that there are significant differences between those who received counseling about hormonal contraceptives and those who did not, with women who received counseling demonstrating greater knowledge about contraceptives [12]. As a result of the statistical analyses applied, a statistically significant relationship was found between receiving family planning counseling and the answers given to the statement, "Only birth control pills (mini-pills) containing only progesterone hormone are safer for women with heart disease". Progestin-only pills (POP) have a progestin dose very close to the contraceptive efficacy threshold, with a failure rate of 0.3% in perfect use and 8-9% in typical use [16]. The women's responses to this question also indicate their awareness that progesterone pills are not associated with myocardial infarction or stroke risk. Accordingly, the proportion of those who answered "yes" to the statement "Only birth control pills (mini-pills) containing only progesterone hormone are safer for women with heart disease" among those who received family planning counseling is significantly higher than those who did not receive family planning counseling. This result suggests that family planning counseling contributes to an important awareness of progesterone-only contraceptives in women with heart disease.

Limitations

The sample size in the study was limited to 190 women. Conducting future research with a larger sample size and in more centers may be more beneficial for generalizing the results. Although the researchers prepared the questions, the objectivity could have been influenced. However, the pilot study conducted on the questions alleviated this concern. Participants may not have disclosed their other medications, lifestyle habits, or other medical conditions. They might have attempted to provide socially acceptable answers and conceal their actual situations, which could affect the accuracy of the data.

Conclusion

The results of this study highlight that palpitations were the most frequently reported symptom among participants, with the majority of birth control pill users experiencing persistent headaches. The study also revealed that male condoms are the prevailing choice for birth control among the participants. Furthermore, there is a notably higher usage of estrogen-

progesterone combination birth control pills in hormonal contraceptive use among the participants. Statistical significance further emphasizes the participants' greater preference for hormonal intrauterine devices. Additionally, the study underscores that counseling enhances awareness of hormonal contraceptives among women with cardiovascular disease.

The study shows that nurses in cardiology and obstetrics polyclinics and clinics have essential advisory roles in family planning options. Close monitoring of women with heart disease or symptoms is crucial for safeguarding their health. Future comprehensive research should delve deeper into this subject, providing valuable insights to assist women in making well-informed choices regarding family planning methods that best suit their health status.

References

1. Avcı N, Kaydırak MM, Satılmış İS. Contraception in Special Groups and Individuals with Chronic Health Problems. *Halic Uni J Health Sci*. 2021;3:173–86. doi: 10.48124/hasagbilder.879335
2. Taşkın L. Doğum ve kadın sağlığı hemşireliği. Akademisyen Kitabevi. 2019
3. Pala A. Derin ven trombozu etiyolojisi ve tanısı. *Venöz ve Lenfatik Sistem Hastalıkları* Publisher: Akademisyen Kitabevi. 2020;131–42.
4. Gerardin JF, Cohen S. Counseling for Perinatal Outcomes in Women with Congenital Heart Disease. *Clin Perinatol*. 2022;49(1):43–53. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2021.11.004. Epub 2022 Jan 21. PMID: 35210008.
5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Summary chart of US medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use. [Internet]. [Assessed Date: 26 June 2023]. https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/contraception/pdf/summary-chart-us-medical-eligibility-criteria_508tagged.pdf
6. Khialani D, Rosendaal F, Vlieg AVH. Hormonal Contraceptives and the Risk of Venous Thrombosis. *Semin Thromb Hemost*. 2020;46(8):865–71. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1715793. Epub 2020 Oct 5. PMID: 33017848.
7. Iqbal UI, Latif L. Contraception knowledge and practices among females presented with cardiac diseases at gulab devi hospital lahore. *Pakistan Heart Journal*. 2020;53:35–9. doi: 10.47144/phj.v53i1.1711
8. Acar ZK, Şahin NH, Demirci FN. Preference of Contraceptives of Married Women Between 18–49 and Causes of Discontinuation. *Ordu University J Nurs Study Journal*. 2021;1:29–38. doi: 10.38108/ouhcd.851213
9. Okoth K, Chandan JS, Marshall T, Thangaratnam S, Thomas GN, Nirantharakumar K, et al. Association between the reproductive health of young women and cardiovascular disease in later life: umbrella review. *BMJ*. 2020 Oct 7;371:m3502. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3502. Erratum in: *BMJ*. 2020 Oct 14;371:m3963. PMID: 33028606; PMCID: PMC7537472.
10. Ünal B. Kalp ve Damar hastalıkları. (eds) Ünal B, Ergör G. "Türkiye Kronik Hastalıklar ve Risk Faktörleri Sıklığı Çalışması" içinde. Ankara, Anıl Matbaa Ltd. Şti. Sağlık Bakanlığı Yayın no: 909. 2013:191–203.
11. Çivek S, Akman M. Dünyada ve Türkiye'de kardiyovasküler hastalıkların sıklığı ve riskin değerlendirilmesi. *Jour Turk Fam Phy*. 2022;1:21–8. doi: 10.15511/ajtjp.22.00121
12. Kirici F, Kaplan S, Karaçor T, Nacar MC. The Effect Of The Family Planning Counselling Service On Women's Choice Of Contraceptive Method: A Tertiary Healthcare Center Experience. *The Journal of Gynecology - Obstetrics and Neonatology*. 2020;3:425–30. doi: 10.38136/jgon.698866
13. Hisar KM, Şahlar TE. Reasons for Changing the Family Planning Method Women Use. *Journal JGEHES*. 2021;3:250–8. doi: 10.51123/jgehes.2021.34
14. Divani AA, Luo X, Datta YH, Flaherty JD, Panoskaltis-Mortari A. Effect of oral and vaginal hormonal contraceptives on inflammatory blood biomarkers. *Mediators Inflamm*. 2015;2015:379501. doi: 10.1155/2015/379501. Epub 2015 Mar 16. PMID: 25861161; PMCID: PMC4378601.
15. Rahhal A, Khir F, Adam M, Aljundi A, Mohsen MK, Al-Suwaidi J. Low dose combined oral contraceptives induced thrombotic anterior wall myocardial infarction: a case report. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2020;19:182. doi: 10.1186/s12872-020-01462-9. PMID: 32306901; PMCID: PMC7168836.
16. Yılmaz N, Büyük GN. The role of new generation progestin only pills and contraception during the covid-19 pandemic. *The Journal of Gynecology - Obstetrics and Neonatology*. 2021;18:746–50. doi: 10.38136/jgon.861698.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data presented in publications in the *Journal of Surgery and Medicine (JOSAM)* are exclusively those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of JOSAM, the publisher, or the editor(s). JOSAM, the publisher, and the editor(s) disclaim any liability for any harm to individuals or damage to property that may arise from implementing any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referenced within the content. Authors are responsible for all content in their article(s), including the accuracy of facts, statements, and citations. Authors are responsible for obtaining permission from the previous publisher or copyright holder if re-using any part of a paper (e.g., figures) published elsewhere. The publisher, editors, and their respective employees are not responsible or liable for the use of any potentially inaccurate or misleading data, opinions, or information contained within the articles on the journal's website.