### Journal of Surgery and Medicine

e-ISSN=2602-207

# The value of heat shock protein (HSP) 60 on in-hospital and shortterm prognosis in patients with acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction

Akut ST elevasyonlu miyokard infaktüsünde ısı şok proteini 60'ın hastane içi ve kısa dönem prognostik değeri

Recep Karatas<sup>1</sup>, Mustafa Celik<sup>2</sup>, Ahmet Yılmaz<sup>3</sup>, Fikret Keles<sup>4</sup>, Nazif Aygül<sup>5</sup>, Hüsamettin Vatansev<sup>6</sup>, Erdoğan Sökmen<sup>2</sup>, Ahmet Erseçgin<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cardiology, Aksaray University Training and Research Hospital, Aksaray, Turkey <sup>2</sup> Department of Cardiology, Ahi Evran University Training andResearchHospital, Kirsehir, Turkey <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Karaman State Hospital,

Karaman, Turkey <sup>4</sup>Department of Cardiology, Elazig Training and Research Hospital, Elazig, Turkey <sup>5</sup>Department of Cardiology, Selcuk University, Medical Faculty, Konya, Turkey

<sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Selcuk University,

Medical Faculty, Konya, Turkey <sup>7</sup> Department of Cardiology, Çiğli Hospital, İzmir, Turkey

> **ORCID ID of the author(s)** RK: 0000-0002-3364-7586 MC: 0000-0003-4102-1564 AY: 0000-0002-2753-1528 FK: 0000-0003-1012-3875 NA: 0000-0002-0424-231X HV: 0000-0002-0230-3414 ES: 0000-0002-8170-5912 AE: 0000-0002-1687-9481

Corresponding author/Sorumlu yazar: Mustafa Çelik Address/Adres: Ahi Evran Üniversitesi, Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi, Kardiyoloji Kliniği, Kırşehir, Türkiye e-Mail: muscelik50@gmail.com

Ethics Committee Approval: Selcuk University, Selcuklu Medical Faculty Clinical Research Ethics Committee, 31-05-2011, 2011/50. Etik Kurul Onayı: Selçuk Üniversitesi, Selçuklu Tıp Fakültesi Klinik Araştırmalar Etik Kurul, 31-05-2011, 2011/50.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors. Çıkar Çatışması: Yazarlar çıkar çatışması bildirmemişlerdir.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support. Finansal Destek: Yazarlar bu çalışma için finansal destek almadıklarını beyan etmişlerdir.

> Published: 2/26/2020 Yayın Tarihi: 26.02.2020

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s)

Copyrught © 2020 The Author(s) Published by JOSAM This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial+NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.



#### Abstract

Aim: HSP60 has previously been shown to be an independent risk factor for congestive heart failure. Recently, increased HSP60 values have been shown to be associated with increased mortality in patients hospitalized with acute heart failure. The purpose of our study was to determine whether HSP 60 is an independent predictor of in-hospital or short-term mortality in patients with acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and to assess whether it may be used as an early predictor of in-hospital heart failure or cardiogenic shock development in the same population.

Methods: A total of 221 patients over 18 years of age presenting within 12 hours after the onset of symptoms with STEMI between 2011 and 2013 were enrolled to our study. Our study was designed as a prospective cohort. Several clinical conditions, such as clinical heart failure, development of cardiogenic shock and death during hospitalization were recorded together with the data pertaining to the length of hospital stay, MI localization, revascularization strategy and risk factors associated with coronary heart disease. Patients were called 1 month after discharge to find out if anyone had died.

Results: HSP 60 values were significantly higher in patients who died during hospitalization (P=0.004) or within 1 month after discharge (P=0.020). These results were independent from other factors associated with mortality following STEMI. Significant association was found between HSP60 value and in-hospital development of cardiogenic shock (P=0.03) or heart failure (P=0.03). Conclusion: HSP60 was found to be independently associated with increased mortality in patients admitted for STEMI and therefore may be used as a promising predictor of mortality.

Keywords: Myocardial infarction, HSP60, Prognosis, Inflammation, Atherosclerosis

#### Öz

Amaç: HSP60'ın daha önce konjestif kalp yetmezliği için bağımsız bir risk faktörü olduğu gösterilmiştir. Son zamanlarda artan HSP60 değerinin mortalite ile ilişkili olduğu gösterilmiştir. Çalışmanın amacı HSP 60'ın akut ST segment yükselmeli miyokard enfarktüslü (STEMI) hastalarda bağımsız bir hastane içi veya kısa süreli mortalite belirleyicisi olup olmadığını belirlemek ve aynı popülasyonda kardiyojenik şok gelişimi ve hastanede kalp yetmezliğinin erken bir belirleyicisi olarak kullanılıp kullanılmayacağını değerlendirmektir. Yöntemler: Çalışmamıza 2011-2013 yılları arasında STEMI semptomlarının başlamasından sonraki 12 saat içinde başvuran 18 yaş üstü 221 hasta alındı. Çalışmamız prospektif kohort olarak dizayn edildi. Klinik kalp yetmezliği, kardiyojenik şok gelişimi ve hastanede yatış sırasındaki ölüm gibi çeşitli klinik durumlar, hastanede kalış süresi, MI lokalizasyonu, revaskülarizasyon stratejisi ve koroner kalp hastalığı ile ilişkili risk faktörleri ile ilgili verilerle birlikte kaydedildi. Hastaların taburcu olduktan 1 ay sonra mortalite açısından telefonla aranarak bilgi alındı.

Bulgular: Hastanede yatış sırasında ölen hastalarda (P=0,004) veya taburcu olduktan sonraki 1 ay içinde HSP 60 değerleri anlamlı olarak daha yüksekti (P=0,020). Bu sonuçlar STEMI sonrası mortalite ile ilişkili diğer faktörlerden bağımsızdı. HSP 60 değeri ile hastanede kardiyojenik şok (P=0,03) veya kalp yetmezliği (P=0,03) arasında anlamlı bir ilişki izlendi.

Sonuç: HSP60'ın STEMI ile başvuran hastalarda artmış mortalite ile bağımsız olarak ilişkili olduğu ve bu nedenle umut verici bir mortalite belirleyicisi olarak kullanılabileceği düşünülebilir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Miyokard enfarktüsü, HSP60, Prognoz, İnflamasyon, Ateroskleroz

## Introduction

Atherosclerosis is a structural disease of medium-sized and large arteries, which commences as an endothelial dysfunction in the intima and media layers in its early phases, then transforms into true atherosclerotic plaque formations in its further phases. It begins to affect the arteries during the early stages of bodily development, and follows a continuous and uninterrupted pattern through a lifespan [1]. Among the most commonly affected arteries are coronary arteries, aorta, iliofemoral arteries and carotid arteries. It may also affect, albeit less frequently, the intracranial arteries.

Development of atherosclerosis is multifactorial. Inflammation has been known to play role in every stage of atherosclerosis, as well as plaque rupture and thrombus formation [2]. Inception of the disease relies upon the interaction of the immune mechanisms with metabolic risk factors. Once initiated, the disease shows progression in various rates. Moreover, disrupted endothelial structure contributes further to the progression of the disease.

Cells begin to synthesize some proteins known as heat shock proteins upon exposure to sudden increase in temperature, anoxia, reactive oxygen species and changing glucose levels. The heat shock proteins (HSP) are subgrouped according to their molecular weights (HSP 10, 40, 60, 70, 90, 110, small HSP). HSPs are secreted from cytosol, mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum and cell nucleus [3-6]. Moreover, importance of the HSPs relies on their ability to interact with other proteins, thereby modifying both their structure and function. HSPs exert strong cytoprotective effect and behave as chaperons for other cellular proteins. They also resist aggregation of the proteins during their folding, disassembly and exposure to stress, like high temperature. Many HSPs are synthesized and secreted into the cytosol in response to stress.

HSP 60 is found in high amounts in the vicinity of atherosclerotic areas, despite their absence in non-atherosclerotic vessel segments within the same vascular lumen. Vascular endothelial cells do not secrete HSP60 under physiological circumstances. Upon encountering any stress induced by classical atherosclerotic risk factors, however, they begin to secrete HSP60 and some other adhesion molecules simultaneously, thus triggering both cellular and humoral immunity which further causes intimal layer of the vessel to be infiltrated by mononuclear cells. This reversible process may convert into an irreversible one, should the atherosclerotic risk factors still persist [7]. Plasma HSP 60 level was found to be elevated in patients with increased carotid intima-media thickness, independently of such other atherosclerotic risk factors as gender and age [8]. HSP 60 and HSP 70 plasma levels were reported to be significantly elevated in patients with borderline hypertension, which was considered to be associated with premature atherosclerosis [9]. Major cardiovascular events were observed in greater frequency in patients with higher plasma level of HSP 65, a member of HSP 60 family. The aforementioned findings led the physicians to extrapolate that plasma HSP 60 level could have a prognostic value on the morbidity and mortality related to atherosclerosis.

In the light of the afore-mentioned premises, we intended to inquire the in-hospital and 30-day prognostic importance of HSP 60 in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).

# Materials and methods

### Patient selection

Patients older than 18 years of age who were admitted to the emergency department with acute STEMI within 12 hour of the onset of symptoms between 2011 and 2013 were included in the study. Our study was designed as a prospective cohort. After excluding those with any co-existing active infection or inflammation, autoimmune connective tissue disorder and malignancy, which are among the clinical states that may have been implicated in higher baseline HSP60 levels measured on admission, a total of 221 patients were considered to be appropriate for study enrollment. All the patients included in the study were interrogated with regards to age, gender, risk factors for atherosclerosis, any previous history of ischemic diseases, myocardial infarction (MI) or percutaneous coronary intervention, and medications used. Informed consent was obtained from every participants and the local ethics committee (Selçuk University Ethics Committee) approved our study. (Approval Number:2011-50, Date:31.05.2011)

### **Biochemical analysis**

10 ml of blood sample was collected into plain tubes from the patients admitted to the emergency department with acute STEMI within 12 hours from onset of the symptoms. Respective supernatants obtained from the blood samples through centrifugation at 3000 ppm for 5 minutes were transferred to Eppendorf tubes and were frozen at -80°C until the time of assay. The analyses for HSP 60 were performed through Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) method using commercial kit in Rayto-2100C Microplate Reader (India) and expressed in ng/mL.

### Medications and devices used

Patients considered appropriate for percutaneous coronary intervention received 300 mg acetylsalicylic acid and 600 mg loading dose of clopidogrel. The other medications were used in compliance with the relevant guideline of STEMI management [10].Right femoral artery was selected as the main site of entry for the angiographic procedure. In patients deemed to have high thrombus burden, a loading of 25 µg/kg tirofiban glycoprotein IIb-IIIa antagonist hydrochloride as was administered intracoronarily in 3 minutes, followed by a constant intravenous infusion at a rate of 0.15µg/kg/min for 18 hours. The patients in whom fibrinolytic therapy was performed received 300 mg acetylsalicylic acid and 300 mg loading dose of clopidogrel. However, 75 mg oral clopidogrel was administered without any loading dose in patients older than 75 years of age. Successful reperfusion of myocardium was decided to be achieved if the patient was rendered asymptomatic and there appeared to be 70% or more resolution in relevant ST segments in the ECG strip compared to baseline ECG.

All patients received high dose of statin (atorvastatin 40-80 mg and rosuvastatin 20-40 mg, preferably), beta-blocker treatment (except those with manifest cardiac decompensation and/or with any contraindication for beta blocker use),

angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or angiotensin-II receptor blocker (ARB), and maintenance doses of 100 mg acetylsalicylic acid and 75 mg clopidogrel. Primary percutaneous coronary interventions were performed by experienced interventional cardiologists (more than 75 PCI cases/year), using standard methods in Toshiba Infinix DFP-8000D interventional angiography system. Echocardiographic evaluations were conducted using Toshiba Aplio XV echocardiography device.

#### **End points**

Primary end point: Analysis of predictive value of HSP 60 in in-hospital and 30-day mortality after acute STEMI.

Secondary end point: Analysis of predictive value of HSP 60 in in-hospital development of cardiogenic shock and heart failure, and length of hospital stay.

#### In-Hospital and short-term follow-up of the patients

Data regarding in-hospital end-points of the patients was obtained either through interview with the patients or through interrogation of their hospital records. In order to gather data regarding first-month follow-up, on the other hand, the patients were summoned to out-patient clinic via phone call. For those unable to attend to out-patient clinic visit, relevant data was obtained via direct phone call either with the patients themselves or one of his/her relatives. Furthermore, hospital records of the patients, if any, who presented to the hospital within one month after hospital discharge were also utilized in this regard.

#### Statistical analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows 15.0 software was utilized in the statistical analysis of the study findings. Numbers, percentage, mean (SD), median, minimum (min), maximum (max) and 25-75 percentiles were used for the descriptive statistics. Z test and Kruskal-Wallis tests were used for the comparison of quantitative data. Chi-square test, on the other hand, was used for the comparison of qualitative data. The parameters found to be significant were further assessed using logistic-regression analysis so as to determine the predictors of mortality. In this regard, such variables as age, length of hospital stay, HSP 60 value and development of cardiogenic shock were involved in the logistic regression model as independent predictor, as they provided significant contribution to the model in the univariate analysis (P < 0.05). The other variables lacking any significant contribution (P>0.05), on the other hand, were not included in the logistic regression model. Furthermore, cut-off value of HSP60 level was determined by using ROC curve analysis. Pvalues <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

#### Results

### Clinical and demographic characteristics of the patients

The ages of all 221 patients ranged between 25 and 89 years. Mean age was found to be 59.8(4.6) years. Of all patients, 176 (80%) were male, while 45 (20%) were female. The mean ages of the males and the females were 57.69 and 68.57 years, respectively. Respective incidences of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia and history of smoking were 40.5%, 18.6%, 13.2% and 70.9%. 85% of the patients was admitted with

Killip class 1. GpIIb/IIIa antagonistic agent (tirofiban) was used in 33.2% of the patients.

JOSAM)

There was no relationship between HSP60 value and personal demographic characteristics gender, such as hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus and smoking (Table 1).

Primary end-point was observed in 30 (13.6%) out of all 221 patients included in the study, most of which occurring as inhospital mortality (21 patients) (Table 2).HSP60 value was measured to be greater in patients in whom in-hospital or onemonth mortality occurred (for one-Month mortality P=0.020 and for In-Hospital mortality P=0.004).

Logistic regression analysis revealed that mortality was associated with age, length of hospitalization, development of cardiogenic shock and HSP60 value (P<0.05). Accordingly, age, development of cardiogenic shock and greater HSP60 value were found to be positively correlated with mortality, while length of hospital stay being found to be negatively correlated with mortality. Furthermore, on the basis of odd ratios, every increase of 1 year of age was related to 1.16 time increased risk of death (Table 3).

HSP60 value was significantly increased in patients developing cardiogenic shock and in those with in-hospital heart failure (Table 4).

Table 1: The relationship between HSP60 value and risk factors of coronary arterial disease

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Risk factors of coronary		(n)	HSP60		al analysis			
Male         45         7.110 (4.820-11.710)         Mate         45         7.110 (4.820-11.710)           Hypertension         No         131         6.360 (4.510-9.360)         0.124         0.901           Yes         90         6.450 (4.660-9.080)         0.159         0.874           Yes         29         6.230 (4.890-8.800)         0.159         0.874           Diabetes Mellitus         No         179         6.540 (4.660-9.360)         -1.193         0.233           Yes         42         5.770 (4.220-8.420)         0.412         0.680           Smoking         No         65         6.590 (4.660-10.370)         -0.412         0.680           Yes         156         6.185 (4.530-9.165)         7-value         (IQR 25-75)         0.004           Mortality         (n)         Median         Statistical analysis         HSP60 (ng/mL)         Z         P-value           (IQR 25-75)         (IQR 25-75)         0.004         (4.510-8.690)         2.853         0.004           Mortality         No         185         6.140         2.325         0.020           One-Month Mortality         No         185         6.140         2.325         0.020           Yes	arterial disease			Median (IQR 25-75)		P-value			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Gender	nder Female		6.075 (4.455-8.665)	1.811	0.070			
Yes         90 $6.450$ ( $4.660-9.080$ )           Hyperlipidemia         No         192 $6.450$ ( $4.510-9.360$ ) $0.159$ $0.874$ Yes         29 $6.230$ ( $4.600-9.360$ ) $0.159$ $0.874$ Diabetes Mellitus         No         179 $6.540$ ( $4.660-9.360$ ) $-1.193$ $0.233$ Yes         42 $5.770$ ( $4.220-8.420$ ) $0.680$ Yes $126$ $6.185$ ( $4.530-9.165$ )           Smoking         No         65 $6.590$ ( $4.660-10.370$ ) $-0.412$ $0.680$ Yes         156 $6.185$ ( $4.530-9.165$ ) $0.412$ $0.680$ Fable 2: Relationship between mortality and HSP60 value         Mortality $Ves$ $P-value$ $(IQR 25-75)$ In-Hospital Mortality         No         200 $6.230$ $2.853$ $0.004$ Metian         Yes         21 $8.720$ $(5.750-20.820)$ $0.2325$ $0.020$ One-Month Mortality         No         185 $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ $(4.510-8.580)$ $9$ $21.880$ $(6.590-45.070)$ $788$ $9$	Male		45	7.110 (4.820-11.710)					
	Hypertension	No	131	6.360 (4.510-9.360)	0.124	0.901			
Yes       29 $6.230$ ( $4.890-8.800$ )         Diabetes Mellius       No       179 $6.540$ ( $4.660-9.360$ ) $-1.193$ $0.233$ Yes       42 $5.770$ ( $4.220-8.420$ ) $65$ $6.590$ ( $4.660-10.370$ ) $-0.412$ $0.680$ Smoking       No $65$ $6.590$ ( $4.660-10.370$ ) $-0.412$ $0.680$ Yes       156 $6.185$ ( $4.530-9.165$ ) $-0.412$ $0.680$ Fable 2: Relationship between mortality and HSP60 value       Mortality       (n)       Median       Statistical analysis         Mortality       (n)       Median       Statistical analysis       HSP60 (ng/mL)       Z $P$ -value         (IQR 25-75)       (IQR 25-75)       0.004 $(4.510-8.690)$ 2.853 $0.004$ One-Month Mortality       No       185 $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ Yes       9 $21.880$ $(6.590-45.070)$ $(6.590-45.070)$ Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis       Variables       B       Wald $P$ -value $Odds$ 95 % Confidence Interval for Odds         Marianum       Maximum       Maximum       Maximum       Maximum <td colspan="2">Yes</td> <td>90</td> <td>6.450 (4.660-9.080)</td> <td></td> <td colspan="2"></td>	Yes		90	6.450 (4.660-9.080)					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			192	6.450 (4.510-9.360)	0.159	0.874			
Yes         42 $5.770$ ( $4.220-8.420$ )           Smoking         No $65$ $6.590$ ( $4.660-10.370$ ) $-0.412$ $0.680$ Fable 2: Relationship between mortality and HSP60 value         Mortality         In         Median         Statistical analysis           Mortality         (n)         Median         Statistical analysis         HSP60 (ng/mL) $Z$ $P-value$ In-Hospital Mortality         No $200$ $6.230$ $2.853$ $0.004$ Mortality         No $200$ $6.230$ $2.853$ $0.004$ Mortality         No $185$ $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ One-Month Mortality         No $185$ $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ Yes $9$ $21.880$ $(6.590-45.070)$ $65.90-45.070$ Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis         Yariables         B         Wald $P-value$ $0dds$ $95$ % Confidence Interval for Odds           Mage $0.149$ $23.116$ $<0.001$ $1.161$ $1.093$ $1.234$			29	6.230 (4.890-8.800)					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Diabetes Mellitus No		179	6.540 (4.660-9.360)	-1.193	0.233			
Yes       156 $6.185$ ( $4.530-9.165$ )         Table 2: Relationship between mortality and HSP60 value         Mortality       (n)       Median       Statistical analysis         HSP60 (ng/mL)       Z $P$ -value         (IQR 25-75)       (IQR 25-75)         In-Hospital Mortality       No       200 $6.230$ $2.853$ $0.004$ Yes       21 $8.720$ $(5.750-20.820)$ $(2.325)$ $0.020$ One-Month Mortality       No       185 $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ Yes       9 $21.880$ $(6.590-45.070)$ $(6.590-45.070)$ Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis       Variables       B       Wald $P$ -value $Odds$ 95 % Confidence Interval for Odds         Minimum       Maximum       Maximum       Maximum       Maximum	Yes		42	42 5.770 (4.220-8.420)					
Table 2: Relationship between mortality and HSP60 valueMortality(n)MedianStatistical analysisHSP60 (ng/mL)Z $P$ -value(IQR 25-75)(IQR 25-75)In-Hospital MortalityNo200 $6.230$ $2.853$ $0.004$ Yes21 $8.720$ $(5.750-20.820)$ One-Month MortalityNo185 $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ Yes9 $21.880$ $(6.590-45.070)$ Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysisVariablesBWald $P$ -value $Odds$ 95 % Confidence Interval for OddsMage $0.149$ $23.116$ $<0.001$ $1.161$ $1.093$ $1.234$	Smoking	No	65	6.590 (4.660-10.370)	-0.412	0.680			
Mortality(n)Median HSP60 (ng/mL) (IQR 25-75)Statistical analysis $HSP60 (ng/mL)$ ZP-value $P-value$ (IQR 25-75)In-Hospital MortalityNo200 $6.230$ ( $4.510-8.690$ ) $S.750-20.820$ ) $2.853$ $0.004$ ( $4.510-8.580$ ) $Pes$ One-Month MortalityNo185 $6.140$ ( $6.590-45.070$ ) $2.325$ $0.020$ ( $4.510-8.580$ ) $Pes$ Cone-Month MortalityNo185 $6.140$ ( $6.590-45.070$ ) $2.325$ $0.020$ ( $6.590-45.070$ )Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysisVariablesBWald $P-value$ $Odds$ $Odds$ $Minimum$ $Maximum$ Age $0.149$ $23.116$ $<0.001$ $1.161$ $1.093$ $1.234$	Yes		156	6.185 (4.530-9.165)					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Table 2: Relationship between mortality and HSP60 value								
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Mortality		(n)	Median	Statistical analysis				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				HSP60 (ng/mL)		P-value			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				(IQR 25-75)					
Yes         21 $8.720$ (5.750-20.820)           Dne-Month Mortality         No         185 $6.140$ $2.325$ $0.020$ ( $4.510-8.580$ )           Yes         9 $21.880$ ( $6.590-45.070$ ) $2.325$ $0.020$ Fable 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis         Wald         P-value         Odds         95 % Confidence Interval for Odds           Variables         B         Wald         P-value         Odds         Minimum         Maximum           Age $0.149$ $23.116$ $<0.001$ $1.161$ $1.093$ $1.234$	In-Hospital Mortality No		200	6.230	2.853	0.004			
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} & (5.750-20.820) \\ \text{One-Month Mortality} & \text{No} & (5.750-20.820) \\ & (4.510-8.580) \\ & \text{Yes} & 9 & 21.880 \\ & (6.590-45.070) \\ \end{array}$				(4.510-8.690)					
One-Month Mortality         No         185         6.140         2.325         0.020         (4.510-8.580)         9         21.880         (6.590-45.070)         (6.590-45.070)         (6.590-45.070)         (6.590-45.070)         (6.590-45.070)         (7.500)	Yes		21	8.720					
Yes     (4.510-8.580)       Yes     9       21.880     (6.590-45.070)       Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis       Variables     B       Wald     P-value       Odds     for Odds       Minimum     Maximum       Age     0.149     23.116				(5.750-20.820)					
Yes     9     21.880 (6.590-45.070)       Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis       Variables       B     Wald       P-value     Odds       for Odds       Minimum     Maximum       Age     0.149     23.116	One-Month Mortality No		185	6.140	2.325	0.020			
(6.590-45.070)       Table 3: Depiction of the results from the logistic regression analysis       Variables     B     Wald     P-value     Odds     95 % Confidence Interval for Odds       Mage     0.149     23.116     <0.001				(4.510-8.580)					
B     Wald     P-value     Odds     95 % Confidence Interval for Odds       Mainum     Maximum     Maximum     Maximum	Yes		9	21.880					
Variables     B     Wald     P-value     Odds     95 % Confidence Interval for Odds       Age     0.149     23.116     <0.001				(6.590-45.070)					
for Odds         Minimum         Maximum           Age         0.149         23.116         <0.001	Table 3: Depiction	of the resu	lts from	the logistic regression	analysis				
Minimum         Maximum           Age         0.149         23.116         <0.001	Variables B		Wald	P-value Odds	95 % Confidence Interval				
Age 0.149 23.116 <0.001 1.161 1.093 1.234					for Odds				
Age 0.149 23.116 <0.001 1.161 1.093 1.234					Minimum	Maximum			
	Age	0.149	23.11	6 <0.001 1.161					
	Length of Hospital	-0.457	5.479	0.019 0.633	0.432	0.928			

8 7 5 0 Development of 4.865 12.712 < 0.001 129.719 8.943 Cardiogenic Shock

0.000 -11.549 23.352 <0.001 Constant

0.055

Stay HSP60 value

Table 4: Relationship between HSP60 value and in-hospital cardiogenic shock or in-hospital heart failure

0.003

1.056

1.019

1 0 9 5

1881 658

		(n)	Median HSP60 value (ng/mL) (IQR 25-75)	Statistical analysis Z	P-value
In-Hospital Cardiogenic	No	211	6.270		
Shock			(4.510-9.250)	1.637	0.021
	Yes	10	7.650		
			(5.750-22.100)		
In-Hospital Heart Failure	No		6.450		
		131	(4.330-9.080)	1.101	0.033
	Yes	90	6.405		
			(4.890-10.370)		

### JOSAM)

#### **Determination of HSP60 cut-off point**

ROC curve analysis was utilized in an attempt to define any potential cut-off point of HSP60 in the determination of mortality, which yielded a cut-off point >7.325 ng/mLvalue with sensitivity of 67.7% and specificity of 66.8% (AUC: 0.70; P<0.001) (Figure 1). In addition, this cut-off was analyzed through Chi-Square test to evaluate further its accuracy which showed that HSP60 in 127 out of 191 patients in whom mortality did not occur was lower than the cut-off value. The HSP60 value in 20 out of 30 patients in whom mortality occurred, on the other hand, can be seen to be greater than the cut-off value. Chi-square test also yielded a significant relationship between HSP60 cut-off value and mortality (P<0.001). The accuracy of predicting patients in whom mortality occurred was 56.7%. Overall accuracy of prediction was 91.8%.



Figure 1: ROC curve analysis for HSP60 values in the determination of mortality (a cut-off point >7.325 ng/mL value with sensitivity of 67.7% and specificity of 66.8%, AUC: 0.70; P<0.001)

#### Discussion

HSP60 is a nuclear-encoded protein which is predominantly found in the mitochondria. In addition to the widely accepted definition that it is an intracellular molecule, HSP60 has also been known to be secreted from cells and can be present in the peripheral blood of healthy individuals [11,12]. Extra cellular HSPs are one of the most powerful ways of sending a "danger signal" to the immune system and thereby triggering an immune response [13,14]. HSPs function in cell cycle control, cell proliferation, development, organization of the cyto-architecture, and regulation of cell death and survival [15,16]. It is not surprising therefore that HSPs possess a pivotal role in the development of atherosclerosis [17].

Seroepidemiologic studies also point out to the role of circulating HSP60s in the development and progression of atherosclerosis [8,9]. Atherosclerosis has been accepted as an inflammatory process and previous studies reported a significant relationship between atherosclerosis and the inflammation markers (such as CRP) and infections with *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, *cytomegalovirus (CMV)* and *Helicobacter pylori* [18]. Minor relationship was reported between the inflammation markers of CRP and HSP60 in a study by Xiao et al [19].

Compatible with the findings by Xiao et al. [12] study by Zhang et al. reported no correlation between CRP and HSP60. The findings from these studies might translate into the possibility that CRP and HSP60 may exert their atherosclerosistriggering effect through distinct mechanisms. Previous studies reported that high levels of HSP60 could stimulate the expression and release of respective pro-inflammatory mediators and vascular adhesion molecules from the myeloid cells and the endothelial cells. Accordingly, these secreted molecules, together with the immune responses they initiated, were reported to have been implicated directly in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [20,21].

A prospective study in 2008 by Zhang et al. [14] which compared the risk of coronary arterial(CAD) disease development between individuals with very high level of HSP 60 (> 1000 ng/ml) and those with low level of HSP60 reported a positive and strong correlation between high levels of HSP60 and risk of CAD development, independently of such traditional risk factors of CAD development as age, gender, smoking status, body-mass index, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and positive family history of premature coronary arterial disease AMI was also shown to induce the secretion of HSP60.

It was reported in previous studies that human HSP60 (hHSP60) and HSP60 secreted from *C.pneumoniae* were colocalized in the atherosclerotic plaques and both play a pivotal role chronic bacterial and viral infection of the plaques [22]. Many other studies conducted on cardiovascular diseases reported the presence of antibodies against HSP60 and such pathogens as *C.pneumoniae*, *H. pylori* and *CMV* along with microbial/human HSP60 [23].

Biasucci et al. [24] suggested C.pneumoniae-HSP60 antibodies as specific markers in acute coronary syndromes. Burian et al. [25] reported that high level of antibodies against hHSP60 and C.pneumoniae were independent risk factors for CAD. Considering such a relationship between CAD development and anti-HSP60 antibody level, it would be rational to assume that high levels of HSP60 together with high levels of anti-HSP60 antibody might reflect a over-activated immunity and exacerbated atherosclerotic process [18,26]. Addition of HSP60 and anti-HSP60 levels to such well-known CAD risk factors as smoking, hypertension and diabetes mellitus act as a booster in the development of CAD. In a prospective study, HSP60 level was reported to show a transient increase in blood circulation following STEMI, the reason for which was proposed to be cardiomyocyte necrosis and accompanying endothelial dysfunction [27]. In this regard, Schett et al. [28] also reported abundant HSP60 secretion into circulation as a result of experimentally-induced myocardial ischemia and necrosis in rat models. Shear-stress related to STEMI is also likely to be another contributor of high levels of HSP60 [29].

In a study conducted on 826 patients and investigating the relationship between HSP60 level and carotid atherosclerosis, serum HSP60 level was found to be elevated in patients with increased carotid intima-media thickness, independently of other traditional atherosclerosis risk factors [10]. Similarly, in a study by Pockley et al. [11] comparing HSP60 and HSP70 levels between healthy individuals those with borderline hypertension, HSP60 and HSP70 levels were found to be significantly increased in the individuals with borderline hypertension and this was considered to have a possible relationship with early atherosclerosis. In another study including 79 patients with documented history of CAD, incidence of major cardiovascular event development was increased in patients with high level of HSP65, a member of HSP60 family. All these findings direct clinicians to infer that increased serum HSP60 level may have a prognostic importance on morbidity and mortality in patients with atherosclerosis.

In a recent prospective study conducted by Bonanad et al. [30] patients presenting with acute heart failure were assigned into 3 groups according to the HSP60 levels on admission and followed-up for 7 months. The incidence of death and readmission to the hospital for acute cardiac decompensation was statistically higher in the group of high HSP60 level. Therefore, HSP60 level was suggested to have a prognostic significance on patients with heart failure

Our study showed that HSP60 level had a prognostic significance in the prediction of mortality. Especially the cut-off value in our study was found to possess a high accuracy in the prediction of mortality. We believe that patients at high risk of in-hospital mortality may be successfully predicted by measuring HSP60 level on admission so that these high-risk patients can be closely followed-up to abate further the mortality rates. As for the secondary end point of our study, we also found a significant relationship between admission HSP60 level and in-hospital development of heart failure or cardiogenic shock. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first in this regard.

#### Limitations

Our study should be assessed together with some limitations. First, our study population is relatively small and studies conducted on larger groups are warranted to verify our results. Secondly, although our in-hospital and 30-day mortality rates (9.5% and 13.6%, respectively) seems higher than expected, we consider that this relatively greater mortality rates could be attributable to the fact that our hospital operates as a tertiary center and hence receive a great number of patients in diverse clinical conditions.

#### Conclusion

Our study showed that HSP60 possess a prognostic significance in the prediction of in-hospital and 30-day mortality in patients presenting with acute STEMI within 12 hours of onset symptom onset. However, the fact that our study recruited a relatively small group of patients may hinder true interpretation of our findings. Hence, future studies including larger groups of patients are needed to confirm our results.

#### References

- Fuster V, Badimon L, Badimon JJ, Chesebro JJ. The pathogenesis of coronary artery disease and the acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med. 1992;326(4):242-50.
- Ross R. The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis: a perspective for the 1990s. Nature. 1993;362(6423):801-9.
   Lai BT, Chin NW, Stanek AE, Keh W, Lanks KW. Quantitation and intracellular localization of the 85K heat shock protein by using monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies. Mol Cell Biol. 1984;4(12):2802-10.
- and a protein E, Vijaya GB, Usha RM. Pollution induced nitrative stress and heat shock protein 70 overexpression in fish liver mitochondria. Sci Total Environ. 2009;407(4):1307-17.
- Liu B, Dai J, Zheng H, Stoilova D, Sun S, Li Z. Cell surface expression of an endoplasmic reticulum resident heat shock protein gp96 triggers MyD88-dependent systemic autoimmune diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003;100(26):15824-9.
- Chan SH, Wang LL, Chang KF, Ou CC, Chan JY. Altered temporal profile of heat shock factor 1 phosphorylation and heat shock protein 70 expression induced by heat shock in nucleus tractus solitarii of spontaneously hypertensive rats. Circulation. 2003;107(2):339-45.
- Varbiro S, Biro A, Cervenak J, Cervenak L, Singh M, Banhidy F, et al. Human anti-60 kD heat shock protein autoantibodies are characterized by basic features of natural autoantibodies. Acta Physiol Hung. 2010;97(1):1-10
- Xu Q, Schett G, Perschinka H, Mayr M, Egger G, Oberhollenzer F, et al. Serum soluble heat shock protein 60 is elevated in subjects with atherosclerosis in a general population. Circulation. 2000;102(1):14-20.
- Pockley AG, Wu R, Lemne C, Kiessling R, de Faire U, Frostegard J. Circulating heat shock protein 60 is associated with early cardiovascular disease. Hypertension. 2000;36(2):303-7.
- Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, Badano LP, Blömstrom LC, Borger MA, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(20):2569-19.

- HSP 60 value and mortality in myocardial infarction
- 11. Xu Q. Role of heat shock proteins in atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2002;22(10):1547-59.
- Zhang X, He MA, Cheng L, Zhou L, Zeng H, Wang J, et al. Joint effects of antibody to heat shock protein 60, hypertension, and diabetes on risk of coronary heart disease in Chinese. Clin Chem. 2008;54(6):1046-52.
   Moseley PL. Heat shock proteins and heat adaptation of the whole organism. J Appl Physiol. 1997;83(5):1413-
- Moseley PL. Heat snock proteins and near adaptation of the whole organism. J Appl Physiol. 1997;85(3):1413-7.
- Welch WJ, Suhan JP. Cellular and biochemical events in mammalian cells during and after recovery from physiological stress. J Cell Biol. 1986;103(5):2035-52.
- Helmbrecht K, Zeise E, Rensing L. Chaperones in cell cycle regulation and mitogenic signal transduction. Cell Prolif. 2000;33(6):341-65.
- Kaufmann SH. Heat-shock proteins: a link between rheumatoid arthritis and infection? Curr Opin Rheumatol. 1990;2(3):430-5.
- Cohen IR, Young DB. Autoimmunity, microbial immunity and the immunological homunculus. Immunol Today, 1991;12(4):105-10.
   Wich C, Kardheb M, Yu Q, Autoimmune and inflammatery machanime in athereselencia. Annu Ray
- Wick G, Knoflach M, Xu Q. Autoimmune and inflammatory mechanisms in atherosclerosis. Annu Rev Immunol. 2004;22:361-403.
   Xiao Q, Mandal K, Schett G, Mayr M, Wick G, Oberhollenzer F, et al. Association of serum-soluble heat shock
- 19. Alao Q, Mandai K, Schett G, Mayr M, Wick G, Obernonenzer F, et al. Association of serum-source near shock protein 60 with carotid atherosclerosis: clinical significance determined in a follow-up study. Stroke. 2005;36(12):2571-6.
- Kol A, Bourcier T, Lichtman AH, Libby P. Chlamydial and human heat shock protein 60s activate human vascular endothelium, smooth muscle cells, and macrophages. J Clin Invest. 1999;103(4):571-7.
   Maguire M, Poole S, Coates AR, Tormay P, Wheeler JC, Henderson B. Comparative cell signalling activity of
- Maguire M, Poole S, Coates AR, Tormay P, Wheeler JC, Henderson B. Comparative cell signalling activity of ultrapure recombinant chaperonin 60 proteins from prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Immunology. 2005;115(2):231-8
- Kol A, Sukhova GK, Lichtman AH, Libby P. Chlamydial heat shock protein 60 localizes in human atheroma and regulates macrophage tumor necrosis factor-alpha and matrix metalloproteinase expression. Circulation. 1998;98(4):300-7.
- 23. Fong IW, Chiu B, Viira E, Tucker W, Wood H, Peeling RW. Chlamydial heat-shock protein-60 antibody and correlation with Chlamydia pneumoniae in atherosclerotic plaques. J Infect Dis. 2002;186(10):1469-73.
- Biasucci LM, Liuzzo G, Ciervo A, Petrucca A, Piro M, Angiolillo DJ. Antibody response to chlamydial heat shock protein 60 is strongly associated with acute coronary syndromes. Circulation. 2003;107(24):3015-7.
- 25. Burian K, Kis Z, Virok D, Endresz V, Prohaszka Z, Duba J, et al. Independent and joint effects of antibodies to human heat-shock protein 60 and Chlamydia pneumoniae infection in the development of coronary atherosclerosis. Circulation. 2001;103(11):1503-8.
- 26.Multhoff G, Issels R. The role of heat shock proteins in the stimulation of an immune response. Biol Chem. 1998;379(3):295–300.
- 27. Toga W, Tanonaka K, Takeo S. Changes in Hsp60 level of the failing heart following acute myocardial infarction and the effect of long-term treatment with trandolapril. Biol Pharm Bull. 2007;30(1):105-10.
- Schett G, Metzler B, Kleindienst R, Amberger A, Recheis H, Xu Q, et al. Myocardial injury leads to a release of heat shock protein (hsp) 60 and a suppression of the anti-hsp65 immune response. Cardiovasc Res. 1999;42(3):685-95.
- 29. Hochleitner BW, Hochleitner EO, Obrist P, Eberl T, Amberger A, Xu Q, et al. Fluid shear stress induces heat shock protein 60 expression in endothelial cells in vitro and in vivo. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2000;20(3):617-23.
- 30. Bonanad C, Nunez J, Sanchis J, Bodi V, Chaustre F, Chillet M, et al. Serum heat shock protein 60 in acute heart failure: a new biomarker? Congest Heart Fail. 2013;19(1):6-10.

This paper has been checked for language accuracy by JOSAM editors.

The National Library of Medicine (NLM) citation style guide has been used in this paper.

Suggested citation: Patrias K. Citing medicine: the NLM style guide for authors, editors, and publishers [Internet]. 2nd ed. Wendling DL, technical editor. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US); 2007-[updated 2015 Oct 2; cited Year Month Day]. Available from: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/citingmedicine